

# Draft Report on Carcinogens Monograph on Antimony Trioxide: Appendices

## **Peer-Review Draft**

November 29, 2017

Office of the Report on Carcinogens Division of the National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

### **Table of Contents**

Appendi	x A: Literature Search Strategy	A-1
A.1	General approach	A-1
A.2	Search strategies	A-3
A.3	Exclusion of treatment for leishmaniasis from human cancer searches	A-3
A.4	Updating the literature search	A-3
A.5	Review of citations using web-based systematic review software	A-3
Appendi	x B: ADME Tables	B-1
Appendi	x C: Human Studies Tables	C-1
Appendi	x D: Animal Study Quality Tables	D <b>-</b> 1
Appendi E.1: E.2:	x E: Mechanistic and Other Relevant Information Studies of antimony(III) potassium tartrate carcinogenicity in experimental animals Genetox tables	E-1 sE-1 E-7
E.3:	Effects of antioxidants and inhibitors of oxidative stress related enzymes on cells	
	exposed to compounds containing trivalent antimony	E <b>-</b> 24
E.4:	Immune effects from compounds containing pentavalent antimony	E <b>-</b> 26
E.5 7	Cop ten canonical pathways affected by 6-hours exposure to 20 μM antimony(III)	
	potassium tartrate trihydrate	E <b>-</b> 28
E.6. '	Top 10 upstream regulators of antimony	E <b>-</b> 30

### List of Tables

Table A-1. Major topics searched	A-1
Table B-1. Antimony(III) trioxide levels <sup>a</sup> (µg/g) in red blood cells during a 1-year chronic	
inhalation exposure (6 mo and 12 mo samples) and a 1-year observation period	
(6 mo and 12 mo samples) in Fischer 344 male and female rats	. <b>B-</b> 1
Table B-2. Blood antimony concentrations (µg/g blood) in female rats and mice exposed to	
antimony trioxide (N = 5 except where indicated)	. <b>B-</b> 1
Table B-3. Tissue distribution of antimony (µg antimony/g tissue) in rats after oral exposure t	to
antimony(III) trioxide by gavage or in the diet	.B-2
Table C-1. Evaluation of selection bias in human cancer studies	.C-1
Table C-2. Evaluation of exposure assessment methods in human cancer studies	.C-1
Table C-3. Evaluation of outcome assessment in human cancer studies	.C-2
Table C-4. Evaluation of study sensitivity in human cancer studies.	.C-2
Table C-5. Evaluation of potential for confounding bias for human cancer studies	.C-3
Table C-6. Evaluation of analysis and selective reporting for human cancer studies	.C-3
Table D-1. Kanisawa and Schroeder (1969) study of male and female (combined) mice expos	sed
to antimony potassium tartrate in drinking water for the lifespan of the animals	D-1
Table D-2. NTP (2017) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for	
105 weeks	D-2
Table D-3. NTP (2017) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for	
105 weeks	D-2

Table D-4. NTP (2017) study of male mice exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 105 weeks
Table D-5. NTP (2017) study of female mice exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 105 weeks
Table D-6. Groth <i>et al.</i> (1986) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 53 weeks followed by post-exposure observation for 71 to 73 weeks
Table D-7. Groth <i>et al.</i> (1986) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 53 weeks followed by post-exposure observation for 71 to 73 weeks
Table D-8. Newton <i>et al.</i> (1994) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 12 months followed by post-exposure observation for 24 months
Table D-9. Newton <i>et al.</i> (1994) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 12 months followed by post-exposure observation for 24 months
Table D-10. Watt (1983) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 1 vear followed by post-exposure observation for 2 years D-10
Table E-1. Ten characteristics of carcinogens       E-1
Table E.1-1. Neoplasms induced in experimental animal carcinogenicity studies by drinking water studies of antimony potassium tartrate       E-2
Table E.1-2. Cancer studies in experimental animals exposed to antimony(III) potassium tartrate      E-2
Table E.1-3. Schroeder <i>et al.</i> (1970) study of male rats exposed to antimony(III) potassium         tartrate in drinking water for the life span of the animals         E-4
Table E.1-4. Schroeder <i>et al.</i> (1970) study of female rats exposed to antimony(III) potassium
tartrate in drinking water for the life span of the animalsE-5
Table E.1-5. Kanisawa and Schroeder (1969) study of male and female (combined) mice         exposed to antimony potassium tartrate in drinking water for the lifespan of the
animals
Table E.2-1. Genotoxicity of antimony compounds: Mutations <sup>a,o,c</sup>
Table E.2-2. Mutations in the lung of mice and rats after two-year inhalation exposure to antimony trioxide (NTP 2016)
Table E.2-3. Genotoxic DNA damaging effects of antimony compounds
Table E.2-4. Genotoxicity of antimony compounds – chromosomal aberrations, micronucleus, and sister chromatic exchange <sup>a, b, c</sup>
Table E.3-1. Effects of antioxidants and inhibitors of oxidative stress related enzymes on cells exposed to compounds containing trivalent antimony
Table E.4-1. Effects of compounds containing pentavalent antimony on immunityE-26
Table E.5-1. Top ten canonical pathways affected by 6-hour exposure to 20 $\mu$ M antimony(III)
potassium tartrate trihydrate
Table E.o-1. Top To upsucan regulators for anumonyE-30

### List of Figures

Figure A-1. I	iterature search strategy a	nd reviewA	-2
---------------	-----------------------------	------------	----

### **Appendix A: Literature Search Strategy**

### Introduction

The objective of the literature search approach is to identify published literature that is relevant for evaluating the potential carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide

(<u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about\_ntp/bsc/2016/december/meetingmaterials/draftantimonytriox</u> <u>ide\_508.pdf</u>). The literature search strategy was used to identify publications in the following areas:

- Properties and human exposure (focusing on the U.S. population)
- Disposition (ADME) and toxicokinetics
- Human cancer studies
- Studies of cancer in experimental Animals
- Mechanistic data and other relevant effects
  - o Genetic and related effects
  - Mechanistic considerations

### A.1 General approach

Database searching encompasses selecting databases and search terms and conducting the searches. Searches of several citation databases are generally conducted using search terms for antimony, combined with search terms for cancer and/or specific topics, including epidemiological and mechanistic studies. A critical step in the process involves consultation with an information specialist to develop relevant search terms. These terms are used to search bibliographic databases. Table A-1 highlights the general concepts searched and databases consulted. To review all the terms used, please refer to the full search strings in Antimony: RoC Protocol (https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/protocols/antimonytrioxide\_508.pdf).

Торіс	Search Method	Databases searched
Exposure	Antimony String AND occur*[tiab]	PubMed
Human Studies	Antimony String <b>AND</b> ORoC Epidemiological (Human) Studies Search <b>AND</b> ORoC Cancer Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Animal Studies	Antimony String <b>AND</b> Experimental Animals Studies Search <b>AND</b> ORoC Cancer Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Mechanism and Genotoxicity	Antimony String <b>AND</b> ORoC Characteristics of Carcinogens Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science

#### Table A-1. Major topics searched



Figure A-1. Literature search strategy and review

#### A.2 Search strategies

Relevant literature is identified using search terms, data sources, and strategies as discussed below.

- General data search: This search covers a broad range of general data sources for information relevant to many or all of the wide range of monograph topics pertaining to antimony.
- Exposure-related data search: This search covers a broad range of potential sources for exposure-related information and physical-chemical properties.
- Database searches in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science: The majority of the primary literature used to draft the antimony monograph was identified from searches of these three extensive databases available through the NIEHS Library. Searches for antimony were combined with the search terms for each of the monograph topics listed above to create the specific literature searches.
- Searches for human cancer studies are somewhat unique because they involve the identification of search terms for exposure scenarios that might result in exposure of people to antimony. For antimony, these exposure-related search terms were based on uses of antimony identified from the EPA's TRI database and the Chemical Data Report rule website.
- QUOSA library of occupational case-control studies search of the QUOSA-based library of more than 6,000 occupational case-control studies, approximately 95% of which are currently available as searchable full-text pdfs, was conducted using the term "antimony."
- Secondary sources: Citations identified from authoritative reviews or from primary references located by literature search, together with publications citing key papers identified using the Web of Science, "Cited Reference Search," were also added.

### A.3 Exclusion of treatment for leishmaniasis from human cancer searches

The use of antimony for the treatment of leishmaniasis is considered an intentional medical exposure and out of the scope of this monograph. The large corpus of literature related to leishmaniasis treatment was excluded when identifying human studies. Unlike other parts of the monograph, in which leishmaniasis related content was excluded via search terms, the mechanisms section literature search did not exclude leishmaniasis via the use of search terms. The studies on the *Leishmania* parasite itself were excluded at levels 1 and 2 by reviewers, and studies on the host or cells not infected by leishmaniasis were included for information related to mechanism.

### A.4 Updating the literature search

The literature searches will be updated prior to submitting the draft monograph for peer review and prior to finalizing the monograph. Monthly search alerts for antimony searches were created in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, and the results of these searches from the closing date of the initial search will be downloaded for review.

#### A.5 Review of citations using web-based systematic review software

Citations retrieved from literature searches were uploaded to web-based systematic review software and screened using inclusion and exclusion criteria. Multi-level reviews of the literature

were conducted, with initial reviews (Level 1) based on titles and abstracts only to identify citations that could be excluded and to assign the included literature to one or more monograph topics; subsequent reviews (Level 2) for literature assigned to the various monograph topics (Exposure, ADME & TK, Human cancer studies, etc.) were based on full-text (i.e., PDFs) of the papers and were carried out by the writer and scientific reviewer for each monograph section. Two reviewers, at least one of whom is a member of the ORoC at NIEHS, participated at each level of review.

### **Appendix B: ADME Tables**

Table B-1. Antimony(III) trioxide levels<sup>a</sup> (μg/g) in red blood cells during a 1-year chronic inhalation exposure (6 mo and 12 mo samples) and a 1-year observation period (6 mo and 12 mo samples) in Fischer 344 male and female rats

Group	6 mo	12 mo	18 mo (6 mo obs)	24 mo (12 mo obs)
Males				
I- Control	ND	ND	$0.17\pm0.39$	ND
ll- 0.055 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$0.53\pm0.31$	$1.09\pm0.21$	$0.86\pm0.68$	ND
III- 0.51 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$5.07\pm0.29$	$7.55\pm0.60$	$3.93 \pm 0.25$	$2.53\pm0.27$
IV- 4.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$34.5 \pm 3.8$	$70.7\pm 6.3$	$38.6\pm4.8$	$30.5 \pm 7.5$
Females				
I- Control	ND	ND	ND	ND
ll- 0.055 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$0.74\pm0.06$	$1.48\pm0.10$	$0.81\pm0.30$	ND
III- 0.51 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$5.69\pm0.62$	$9.94 \pm 1.32$	$6.53\pm0.90$	$3.39\pm0.28$
IV- 4.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$75.6 \pm 8.4$	$121 \pm 10.6$	$74.6 \pm 18.3$	36.6 ± 15.5

Source: Newton et al. (1994).

Mo = month; ND = not detected (lowest limit of detection =  $0.02 \ \mu g$  of antimony/mL, i.e.,  $0.024 \ \mu g$  of antimony(III) trioxide/mL).

<sup>a</sup>Total antimony in red blood cells was reported as total antimony(III) trioxide using the relationship 1 mole  $Sb_2O_3 = 1.197$  mole  $Sb_2$ .

Table B-2. Blood antimony concentrations (	μg/g blood) in female rats and mice exposed to antimony trioxide
(N = 5 except where indicated)	

	Day 61	Day 124	Day 269	Day 369	Day 551
Female Mice					
Controls	$0.001\pm0.000$	$0.001\pm0.001$	$0.001\pm0.000$	$0.001\pm0.000$	$0.001 \pm 0.000$
$3 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$0.043 \pm 0.002 \texttt{**}$	$0.058 \pm 0.001 \texttt{**}$	$0.053 \pm 0.006 **$	$0.052 \pm 0.003 **$	$0.061 \pm 0.010 \textit{**}$
$10 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$0.083 \pm 0.002 \texttt{**}$	$0.089 \pm 0.002 \texttt{**}$	$0.091 \pm 0.002$ **	$0.088 \pm 0.003 **$	$0.087 \pm 0.004 \texttt{**}$
$30 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$0.141 \pm 0.003 **$	$0.148 \pm 0.005 \texttt{**}$	$0.163 \pm 0.008^{**a}$	$0.137 \pm 0.007 ^{\ast\ast}$	$0.163 \pm 0.006^{**a}$
Female Rats					
Controls	$0.139\pm0.012$	$0.050\pm0.002$	$0.077\pm0.002$	$0.084\pm0.008$	$0.066\pm0.005$
$3 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$7.352 \pm 0.375 **$	$16.135 \pm 0.995 **$	$39.590 \pm 3.915 **$	$50.917 \pm 2.296 **$	$63.297 \pm 3.906 **$
$10 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$18.079 \pm 0.793 **$	$40.350 \pm 1.543 **$	$88.833 \pm 2.210 **$	$102.083 \pm 2.738 **$	$149.192\pm 8.472^{\boldsymbol{**^a}}$
$30 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$43.574 \pm 1.741 **$	$96.082 \pm 3.940 **$	$175.437 \pm 6.471 **$	$200.239 \pm 10.302 **$	$231.934 \pm 8.681 **$

Source: NTP (2016c).

\*\*Significantly different (P < 0.01) from the chamber control group by Shirley's test.

aN = 4.

	ao by garage er m				
Tissue	Controls (M/F)ª	1000 mg/kg Sb₂O₃ suspension p.o. for 1 day (M/F)ª	1000 mg/kg Sb₂O₃ suspension p.o. for 14 days (M/F)ª	2% Sb₂O₃ in diet* for 49 days <sup>ь</sup>	2% Sb₂O₃ in diet* for 8 months <sup>°</sup>
Thyroid	0.098/0.195	1.507/2.103	2.639/2.280	88.9	156
Adrenal	NR	NR	NR	67.8	NR
Lung	0.004/0.002	0.041/0.061	0.746/0.882	14	3.7
Spleen	0.010/0.032	0.197/0.113	1.485/1.386	18.9	8.1
Heart	0.004/0.003	0.042/0.041	0.643/0.356	7.6	5.1
Kidney	0.003/0.002	0.012/0.023	0.323/0.261	6.7	6.0
Liver	0.004/0.003	0.041/0.064	0.823/0.675	8.9	15.5
Bone marrow	0.080/0.142	1.192/1.996	2.486/3.517	NR	NR
Bone or femur	0.019/0.010	0.048/0.032	0.254/0.265	NR	2.5
Muscle	0.003/0.003	0.005/0.005	0.039/0.044	NR	0.3
Whole blood	0.003/0.003	0.708/0.640	8.278/6.886	NR	NR

### Table B-3. Tissue distribution of antimony (μg antimony/g tissue) in rats after oral exposure to antimony(III) trioxide by gavage or in the diet

Sources: <sup>a</sup> TNO Quality of Life 2005 as cited by EU 2008; <sup>b</sup> Westrick 1953; <sup>c</sup> Gross *et al.* 1955 as cited by EU 2008. NR = not reported.

\*Based on consumption of 5 g of food per day per 100 g body weight (Johns Hopkins University 2017), rats exposed to 2% Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the diet or by gavage at 1,000 mg/kg body weight would be exposed to approximately 0.1 g per 100 g body weight.

### **Appendix C: Human Studies Tables**

Study	Selection bias
Jones 1994	Rating: ++; Direction: ↓
	<i>Rationale</i> : Only an external analysis was conducted. Although the impact of healthy worker survivor effect (HWSE) is mitigated by stratification by time-since-exposure, HWSE is still possible and may bias results toward the null.
Schnorr et al. 1995	Rating: ++; $\downarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Only an external analysis was conducted. HWSE was not accounted for in this analysis, which may result in an underestimating of the risk estimates.
Jones et al. 2007	Rating: ++; $\downarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Missing death information for 5.7% of untraced individuals would slightly bias results if they experienced the outcome. HWSE was not accounted for in the analyses, however, the impact of the smelter closing during follow-up would reduce the residual survival advantage.
Wingren and	$Rating: +++; \leftrightarrow$
Axelson 1993	<i>Rationale</i> : Cases and controls were selected from the same parishes. No evidence suggests that the selection of subjects was related to both antimony exposure and disease.

#### Table C-1. Evaluation of selection bias in human cancer studies.

 $\uparrow$  = Results bias away from the null;  $\downarrow$  = Results bias toward the null;  $\leftrightarrow$  = Unknown direction of bias

#### Table C-2. Evaluation of exposure assessment methods in human cancer studies.

Study	Exposure assessment rating
Jones 1994	Rating: ++/+++; Direction: $\leftrightarrow$ Rationale: Exposure assessment methods have decent sensitivity and specificity, leading to reliable classification with respect to ever-exposure to antimony and exposure duration. Antimony exposure is assumed based on job description at smelter site.
Schnorr et al. 1995	<i>Rating</i> : ++; $\downarrow$ <i>Rationale</i> : Exposure was reliably characterized as ever-exposure to antimony and duration of antimony exposure, but not with respect to concentration of exposure. Based on the reported environmental sampling data, antimony air exposure varied by plant location and year sampled; however, exposure is not captured at the individual level due to lack of information on job duties, and therefore, may be subject to misclassification.
Jones <i>et al.</i> 2007	Rating: ++; $\downarrow$ Rationale: Given the modeling efforts used to account for the uncertainty in early air contamination levels, and because air sampling concentrations are likely an underestimate of true individual antimony exposure, exposure levels and timing may not represent true antimony concentrations and worker exposure prior to 1972. Authors mention changes in plant processes before NIOSH collected exposure estimates. The 3 scenarios for back- extrapolation (1. twice as high air concentrations in 1937, 2. average concentration from 1937 to 1972, and 3. a doubling in concentrations from 1937-1960 then a decrease to 1972 levels) are assumptions based on little empirical data.
Wingren and Axelson 1993	<i>Rating</i> : +; ↑ <i>Rationale</i> : Exposure to antimony was based on reported job title at death. Those classified as unexposed who may have worked in a glass producing facility or had other antimony occupational exposure over a lifetime may have misclassified exposure. Reported level of antimony used by surveyed glass working facilities may not represent individual-level

C-2

Study	Exposure assessment rating
	exposure to employees. Facility surveys of antimony use was taken at one time point;
	unknown if antimony use patterns were consistent.
A	

↑ = Results bias away from the null;  $\downarrow$  = Results bias toward the null;  $\leftrightarrow$  = Unknown direction of bias

lies.

Study	Outcome assessment rating
Jones 1994	<i>Rating</i> : +++; <i>Direction</i> : $\leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Outcome methods distinguish between diseased and non-diseased subjects. Follow-up and diagnoses are conducted independent of exposure status.
Schnorr et al. 1995	$Rating: +++; \leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Outcome methods distinguish between diseased and non-diseased subjects. Follow-up and diagnoses are conducted independent of exposure status.
Jones et al. 2007	$Rating: +++; \leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Outcome methods distinguish between diseased and non-diseased subjects. Follow-up and diagnoses are conducted independent of exposure status.
Wingren and	<i>Rating</i> : ++; ↑
Axelson 1993	<i>Rationale</i> : Outcome methods distinguish between diseased and non-diseased subjects. Occupational title (i.e. exposure status) was collected from the death and burial register, which noted mortality status. Given the lack of information on the blinding status, diagnostic bias cannot be ruled out. If coder identified diseased subjects as being exposed, it would bias the results away from the null.
A	

 $\uparrow$  = Results bias away from the null;  $\downarrow$  = Results bias toward the null;  $\leftrightarrow$  = Unknown direction of bias

Study	Sensitivity rating
Jones 1994	<i>Rating</i> : ++; <i>Direction</i> : $\leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Study has few exposed cases but a substantial duration of exposure with a long range for follow-up. Stratification by exposure duration and years increase sensitivity.
Schnorr et al. 1995	$Rating: ++; \leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Study had a small-to-moderate number of exposed cases. There was adequate duration for follow-up, with a substantial duration of exposure. Duration and ever-exposure were measured, but not the range of antimony concentrations.
Jones et al. 2007	$Rating: +; \leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : Adequate number of potentially-exposed subjects but a small number of exposed cases. Exposure characterized by job-exposure matrix and detailed work histories. Exposure was modeled with a substantial range and level of exposure with adequate duration for latency. However, exposure was not at an individual level and exposure was extrapolated based on assumptions.
Wingren and	Rating: +; $\leftrightarrow$
Axelson 1993	<i>Rationale</i> : Study captures variability in antimony use by parish where cases and controls died. However, given the unknown number of exposed subjects, exposed cases, the unknown number of controls, and the unknown individual-level exposure to antimony in glass workers, this study has poor sensitivity.

 $\uparrow$  = Results bias away from the null;  $\downarrow$  = Results bias toward the null;  $\leftrightarrow$  = Unknown direction of bias

Study	Confounding rating
Jones 1994	Rating: +; Direction: $\uparrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : No control for smoking or occupational co-exposures in statistical analysis. Likely co-exposure to arsenic and PAHs (lung carcinogens) based on smelting source materials. Smoking not controlled for, despite high prevalence in the study population.
Schnorr et al. 1995	$Rating: +++; \leftrightarrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : No control for smoking or occupational co-exposures in statistical analysis. Confounding from occupational co-exposures to arsenic and lead are minimal based on source information and environmental testing. Smoking prevalence rates were assumed to be low in this particular population.
Jones et al. 2007	Rating: ++; $\uparrow$
	<i>Rationale</i> : No attempt to statistically account for measured occupational co-exposures in analysis. High level of correlation between antinomy, lead, and arsenic air concentrations suggests likely occupational co-exposure. Minimal concern for smoking, but not controlled for in analysis.
Wingren and	Rating: +; ↑
Axelson 1993	<i>Rationale</i> : Smoking and occupational co-exposures lead and asbestos were not statistically controlled for in analysis. Lead and antimony use patterns were highly correlated, and lead was associated with an increased risk of stomach cancer mortality in this population; therefore, risk of confounding bias is high.

#### Table C-5. Evaluation of potential for confounding bias for human cancer studies.

↑ = Results bias away from the null;  $\downarrow$  = Results bias toward the null;  $\leftrightarrow$  = Unknown direction of bias

#### Table C-6. Evaluation of analysis and selective reporting for human cancer studies.

Study	Analysis rating	Reporting rating
Jones 1994	<i>Rating</i> : +++ <i>Rationale</i> : The study used relevant data and appropriate assumptions and methods of analysis.	<i>Rating</i> : +++ <i>Rationale</i> : No evidence that reporting of the data or analyses were limited to only a subset of data that were collected.
Schnorr <i>et al.</i> 1995	Rating: ++ Rationale: 95% CI are generally favorable to 90% CI regardless of <i>a priori</i> outcome status.	<i>Rating</i> : +++ <i>Rationale</i> : No evidence that reporting of the data or analyses were limited to only a subset of the data that were collected.
Jones <i>et al.</i> 2007	Rating: ++ Rationale: 95% CI are generally favorable to 90% CI regardless of <i>a priori</i> outcome status.	<i>Rating</i> : +++ <i>Rationale</i> : No evidence that reporting of the data or analyses were limited to only a subset of the data that were collected.
Wingren and Axelson 1993	<i>Rating</i> : ++ <i>Rationale</i> : 95% CI are generally favorable to 90% CI regardless of <i>a priori</i> outcome status.	<i>Rating</i> : ++ <i>Rationale</i> : It is unknown whether reporting was done on only a subset on data. Sample size for cases, controls, and exposure groups were not reported.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

C-4 This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any NTP determination or policy.

### **Appendix D: Animal Study Quality Tables**

### Table D-1. Kanisawa and Schroeder (1969) study of male and female (combined) mice exposed to antimony potassium tartrate in drinking water for the lifespan of the animals

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	NR	Not reported.
Controls	+++	Concurrent control group, exposed to doubly deionized water with added essential trace elements, had the same number of animals as the exposure group did.
Historical data		No
Animal model	+++	Both sexes of random bred mice were used, giving a high level of external validity.
Statistical power	+++	A large number (54) of mice per sex per group were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	NR	No chemical characterization was reported, not even purity.
Dosing regimen	+	The maximally tolerated dose level was not reached, because the treated group did not show decreased body weight compared to the control group. The dose might not have been high enough to detect neoplastic effects.
Exposure duration	+++	Mice were exposed for their lifetimes.
Dose-response	+	Only one concentration was tested and no rational for the dose selection was reported. Dose response relationships cannot be evaluated due to only one dose level.
Outcome		
Pathology	++	Only gross lesions were microscopically evaluated.
Consistency between groups	++	The treated and control groups were treated the same while mice were alive. The examination of organs/tissues varied, because only gross lesions (not all major organs) were examined microscopically.
Study duration	+++	The study duration was lifetime, up to the animals' natural death.
Confounding		
Confounding	+++	Testing substance purity and supplier were unknown. Exposure to antimony via other sources (feed, housing) was negligible because the feed was antimony free and metal exposure via housing was minimized.
Reporting and analysi	s	
Reporting data and statistics	++	Although tumor incidents were not statistically analyzed in the study, the data were reported and enabled us to conduct statistical analysis. Statistical methods were described as "numerical data were treated by Chi-squire analysis and by Student's t test", but the reported probability in tables did not specify the result was from which method.
Combining lesions	++	Tumor incidence was reported for two sexes combined only, instead of male and female separately. Site specific (lung, liver, mammary gland, and other) information was limited to tumor incidence, with no subtype. Tumors were also grouped by origin (epithelial, non-epithelial) along with being benign or malignant. Overall information did not allow detecting of specific tumor type increase in either sex.

**Overall utility:** +. Due to many limitations, including only one tested concentration (below maximally tolerated dose), unknown test substance purity, tumor incidences only reported in combined sexes with no histologic information, and lack of site specific information (except incidences of three sites in sexes combined), this study is of low utility. Data lack sufficient details to allow us determine whether any specific type of tumor had increased.

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	+++	Animals were randomly assigned to groups.
Controls	+++	Concurrent chamber control was used. Data was also compared with historical control.
Historical data	Yes	
Animal model	+++	Standard model.
Statistical power	+++	A large number, 50/sex/group, of animals were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+++	The chemical and exposure chamber were well characterized, showing high purity, stability, and homogeneity. Concentration inside the exposure chamber was measured in real-time and alarmed if readings were not within limits of acceptable concentrations. Aerosol size, measured monthly, was also consistently less than 4 um (for male rats, MMAD = 1-1.4 um, GSD 1.8-2.2; for female rats, MMAD = $0.9 - 1.5$ um, GSD = $1.7 - 2.1$ ). Stability in the generation and exposure system was tested before the test, during the test (at 4 weeks for rats), and the end of 2 year study.
Dosing regimen	NR	Consistent and very close to target concentrations. The highest exposure level was near maximally tolerated levels as evidenced by the trend of decreased survival and a significant decrease in body weight. Neoplasms were significantly increased.
Exposure duration	+++	Exposure duration was near life-span
Dose-response	+++	Three dose levels spanning a range of 30 fold were used.
Outcome		
Pathology	+++	Detailed and covering all tissues. Full necropsy with histological exam of all major organs was conducted and verified by an independent quality control pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++	Nothing was reported to suggest that animals from different groups were treated differently.
Study duration	+++	Near life-span study duration was used.
Confounding		
Confounding	+++	No concerns of confounding were reported.
Reporting and analysis	3	
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Statistical analysis was clearly reported.
Combining lesions	+++	No indication of concern. Detailed groupings were provided.

Table D-2. NTP (2017) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 105 weeks

Table D-3. NTP (2017	<ol><li>study of female r</li></ol>	ats exposed to antimon	y trioxide by	inhalation for	105 weeks
----------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------

	Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design	Study design		

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Randomization	+++	Animals were randomly assigned to groups
Controls	+++	Concurrent chamber control was used. Data was also compared with historical control.
Historical data	No	
Animal model	+++	Standard model.
Statistical power	+++	A large number, 50/sex/group, of animals were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+++	The chemical and exposure chamber were well characterized, showing high purity, stability, and homogeneity. Concentration inside the exposure chamber was measured in real-time and alarmed if readings were not within limits of acceptable concentrations. Aerosol size, measured monthly, was also consistently less than 4 um (for male rats, MMAD = 1-1.4 um, GSD 1.8-2.2; for female rats, MMAD = $0.9 - 1.5$ um, GSD = $1.7 - 2.1$ ). Stability in the generation and exposure system was tested before the test, during the test (at 4 weeks for rats), and the end of 2 year study.
Dosing regimen	NR	Consistent, and very close to target, concentrations. The highest exposure level was near maximally tolerated levels as evidenced by the trend of decreased survival and a significant decrease in body weight. Neoplasms were significantly increased.
Exposure duration	+++	Exposure duration was near life-span.
Dose-response	+++	Three dose levels spanning a range of 30 fold were used.
Outcome		
Pathology	+++	Detailed and covering all tissues. Full necropsy with histological exam of all major organs was conducted and verified by an independent quality control pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++	Nothing was reported to suggest that animals from different groups were treated differently.
Study duration	+++	Near life-span study duration was used.
Confounding		
Confounding	+++	No concerns of confounding were reported.
Reporting and analysi	s	
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Statistical analysis was clearly reported.
Combining lesions	+++	No indication of concern. Detailed groupings were provided.

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	+++	Animals were randomly assigned to groups.
Controls	+++	Concurrent chamber control was used. Data was also compared with historical control.
Historical data	Yes	
Animal model	+++	Standard model.
Statistical power	+++	A large number, 50/sex/group, of animals were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+++	The chemical and exposure chamber were well characterized, showing high purity, stability, and homogeneity. Concentration inside the exposure chamber was measured in real-time and alarmed if readings were not within limits of acceptable concentrations. Aerosol size, measured monthly, was also consistently less than 4 um (for male rats, MMAD = 1-1.4 um, GSD 1.8-2.2; for female rats, MMAD = $0.9 - 1.5$ um, GSD = $1.7 - 2.1$ ). Stability in the generation and exposure system was tested before the test, during the test (at 4 weeks for rats), and the end of 2-year study.
Dosing regimen	NR	Consistent, and very close to target, concentrations. The highest exposure level was near maximally tolerated levels as evidenced by the trend of decreased survival and a significant decrease in body weight. Neoplasms were significantly increased.
Exposure duration	+++	Exposure duration was near life-span.
Dose-response	+++	Three dose levels spanning a range of 30 fold were used.
Outcome		
Pathology	+++	Detailed and covering all tissues. Full necropsy with histological exam of all major organs was conducted and verified by an independent quality control pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++	Nothing was reported to suggest that animals from different groups were treated differently.
Study duration	+++	Near life-span study duration was used.
Confounding		
Confounding	+++	No concerns of confounding were reported.
Reporting and analysis		
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Statistical analysis was clearly reported.
Combining lesions	+++	No indication of concern. Detailed groupings were provided.

Table D-4. NTP (2017) study of male mice exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 105 weeks

Utility question	Rating	Rationale	
Study design			
Randomization	+++	Animals were randomly assigned to groups.	
Controls	+++	Concurrent chamber control was used. Data were also compared with historical control.	
Historical data	No		
Animal model	+++	Standard model.	
Statistical power	+++	A large number, 50/sex/group, of animals were used.	
Exposure			
Chemical characterization	+++	The chemical and exposure chamber were well characterized, showing high purity, stability, and homogeneity. Concentration inside the exposure chamber was measured in real-time and alarmed if readings were not within limits of acceptable concentrations. Aerosol size, measured monthly, was also consistently less than 4 um (for male rats, MMAD = 1-1.4 um, GSD 1.8-2.2; for female rats, MMAD = $0.9 - 1.5$ um, GSD = $1.7 - 2.1$ ). Stability in the generation and exposure system was tested before the test, during the test (at 4 weeks for rats), and the end of 2 year study.	
Dosing regimen	NR	Consistent, and very close to target, concentrations. The highest exposure level was near maximally tolerated levels as evidenced by the trend of decreased survival and a significant decrease in body weight. Neoplasms were significantly increased.	
Exposure duration	+++	Exposure duration was near life-span.	
Dose-response	+++	Three dose levels spanning a range of 30 folds were used.	
Outcome			
Pathology	+++	Detailed and covering all tissues. Full necropsy with histological exam of all major organs was conducted and verified by an independent quality control pathologist.	
Consistency between groups	+++	Nothing was reported to suggest that animals from different groups were treated differently.	
Study duration	+++	Near life-span study duration was used.	
Confounding			
Confounding	+++	No concerns of confounding were reported.	
Reporting and analysi	s		
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Statistical analysis was clearly reported.	
Combining lesions	+++	No indication of concern. Detailed groupings were provided.	

Table D-5. NTP (2017	) study of female n	nice exposed to ant	timony trioxide by	inhalation for 1	05 weeks
----------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	------------------	----------

### Table D-6. Groth et al. (1986) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 53 weeks followed by post-exposure observation for 71 to 73 weeks

Utility question	Rating	Rationale		
Study design				
Randomization	NR	Not reported.		
Controls	+++	Concurrent untreated chamber controls (filtered air) were used.		
Historical data	No			
Animal model	+++	Male and female inbred rats were used.		
Statistical power	+++	A large number of rats (90/sex/group) were used.		
Exposure				
Chemical characterization	+	The low purity (80%) and contamination by carcinogens (arsenic and lead) and others (tin, cesium aluminum, and bromine) was reported. The aerosol concentrations didn't reach target levels of 50 mg/m^3 until after 5 months of adjustment and modifications on the exposure equipment. MMAD of aerosol of 2.80 um was fine, but the GSD was not reported. Aerosol size appeared only measured once at 6 month of exposure.		
Dosing regimen	++	The exposure level was based on the middle of the concentration range that workers are exposed to, so it would not be expected to be at the maximally tolerated level. The concentrations fluctuated dramatically, up to 191.1 mg/m^3 for daily TWAs, while a mean daily TWA was 45, 46 mg/m^3 (two chambers) and the target concentration was 50 mg/m^3. It is not clear whether the chamber air was humidified. Survival and body weights were similar to controls, but total neoplasms in the lung were significantly increased over untreated controls.		
Exposure duration	++	Exposure duration was 53 weeks.		
Dose-response	+	Only one exposure level was used and it was based on the middle of the concentration range that workers are exposed to (i.e., well below animals' maximal tolerated dose). The actual exposure concentration fluctuated greatly until about 5 months into the study when the target concentration was reached.		
Outcome				
Pathology	+++	Most organs were histologically examined.		
Consistency	++	No indication of differential treatments.		
between groups				
Study duration	++	The study duration was 71 to 73 weeks long, roughly 1.4 years, with only 5 months of observation after the end of 53 week-long exposure. These lengths were likely limited because the rats were 8 months old at the beginning of the study.		
Confounding				
Confounding	+	The chemical was only 80% antimony, with various other metal contaminants, such as tin, lead, cesium, aluminum, arsenic, and bromine. Lead and arsenic are carcinogenic.		
Reporting and analysi	s			
Reporting data and statistics	NR	Statistical analysis was reported for body weights, tissue levels of antimony, and neoplasms. Statistical significance, but not the method was reported for neoplasm incidences in females.		
Combining lesions	+++	Neoplasms were combined by site. While no numbers of each pathological type were provided, the tumor combining is fine		
Overall utility: ++. The	e chemical	was well characterized, but was found to only be 80% pure, with lead and arsenic as		

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemical was well characterized, but was found to only be 80% pure, with lead and arsenic as contaminants. The low purity makes it difficult to distinguish effects caused by antimony from possible effects caused by the contaminants. The sensitivity of the study to detect neoplasms was low as only one dose level was used and it was based on the level of exposure to workers and not the maximally tolerated dose. Further, the exposure concentration varied widely until 5 months into the study when the target concentration was reached. The exposure duration was more than a year and full necropsies with histological examinations were performed. Neoplasms were reported with statistical analysis as total neoplasms combined per organ site.

### Table D-7. Groth et al. (1986) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 53 weeks followed by post-exposure observation for 71 to 73 weeks

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	NR	Not reported.
Controls	+++	Concurrent untreated chamber controls (filtered air) were used.
Historical data	No	
Animal model	+++	Male and female inbred rats were used.
Statistical power	+++	A large number of rats (90/sex/group) were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+	The low purity (80%) and contamination by carcinogens (arsenic and lead) and others (tin, cesium aluminum, and bromine) was reported. The aerosol concentrations didn't reach target levels of 50 mg/m^3 until after 5 months of adjustment and modifications on the exposure equipment. MMAD of aerosol of 2.80 um was fine, but the GSD was not reported. Aerosol size appeared only measured once at 6 month of exposure.
Dosing regimen	++	The exposure level was based on the middle of the concentration range that workers are exposed to, so it would not be expected to be at the maximally tolerated level. The concentrations fluctuated dramatically, up to 191.1 mg/m^3 for daily TWAs, while a mean daily TWA was 45, 46 mg/m^3 (two chambers) and the target concentration was 50 mg/m^3. It is not clear whether the chamber air was humidified. Survival and body weights were similar to controls, but total neoplasms in the lung were significantly increased over untreated controls.
Exposure duration	++	Exposure duration was 53 weeks.
Dose-response	+	Only one exposure level was used and it was based on the middle of the concentration range that workers are exposed to (i.e., well below animals' maximal tolerated dose). The actual exposure concentration fluctuated greatly until about 5 months into the study when the target concentration was reached.
Outcome		
Pathology	+++	Most organs were histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	++	No indication of differential treatments.
Study duration	++	The study duration was 71 to 73 weeks long, roughly 1.4 years, with only 5 months of observation after the end of 53 week-long exposure. These lengths were likely limited because the rats were 8 months old at the beginning of the study.
Confounding		
Confounding	+	The chemical was only 80% antimony, with various other metal contaminants, such as tin, lead, cesium, aluminum, arsenic, and bromine. Lead and arsenic are carcinogenic
Reporting and analysi	s	
Reporting data and statistics	NR	Statistical analysis was reported for body weights, tissue levels of antimony, and neoplasms. Statistical significance, but not the method was reported for neoplasm incidences in females.
Combining lesions	+++	Neoplasms were combined by site. While no numbers of each pathological type were provided, the tumor combining is fine.
Overall utility: ++. The	e chemical	was well characterized, but was found to only be 80% pure, with lead and arsenic as

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemical was well characterized, but was found to only be 80% pure, with lead and arsenic as contaminants. The low purity makes it difficult to distinguish effects caused by antimony from possible effects caused by the contaminants. The sensitivity of the study to detect neoplasms was low as only one dose level was used and it was based on the level of exposure to workers and not the maximally tolerated dose. Further, the exposure concentration varied widely until 5 months into the study when the target concentration was reached. The exposure duration was more than a year and full necropsies with histological examinations were performed. Neoplasms were reported with statistical analysis as total neoplasms combined per organ site.

### Table D-8. Newton et al. (1994) study of male rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 12 months followed by post-exposure observation for 24 months

Utility question	Rating	Rationale			
Study design					
Randomization	+++	Used a computer program to randomly sort animals so that mean group weights were comparable.			
Controls	+++	Use concurrent control at the same number of animals as exposure groups.			
Historical data	No				
Animal model	+++	Normal Fischer rats, which are often used in carcinogenicity studies.			
Statistical power	+++	A large number of rats (65/sex/group) were used.			
Exposure					
Chemical characterization	+++	A blend of lots from 9 producers of antimony trioxide. Highly pure material. Particle size was characterized as having a mass median aerodynamic diameter of $3.76 + -0.84 \mu m$ and a geometric standard deviation of $1.79 + -0.32$ . Exposure concentration was analyzed four times a day and particle sizes were analyzed before the study and every three months. Homogeneity of the exposure chamber was verified by measuring 10 different locations.			
Dosing regimen	++	There were not differences in body weight, survival, or neoplasm incidence, suggesting the dose was not at the maximally tolerated dose.			
Exposure duration	+++	12 month exposure.			
Dose-response	+++	Three exposed levels were used, which covered a 100-fold range.			
Outcome					
Pathology	++	Only heart, airway, and peribronchial lymph nodes were histologically examined.			
Consistency between groups	+++	Consistent treatment and evaluation of groups.			
Study duration	+++	The study duration was 2 years, with 12 months of exposure and 12 months of observation.			
Confounding					
Confounding	+++	Material of high purity. Animal husbandry reported in detail. No significant body weight loss in the treated groups, compared to the control compared to the control.			
Reporting and analysi	s				
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Since neoplasm incidences were not reported, as they were negative, statistical analysis wasn't reported.			
Combining lesions	+++	No tumor combining, as only three cases [2 males (including one from control), 1 female] were seen.			
<b>Overall utility:</b> ++. The	ere was littl	e concern for confounding as the chemical was pure, exposure conditions were well ated consistently with animals randomly assigned to exposure groups. The sensitivity of			

characterized, and groups were treated consistently with animals randomly assigned to exposure conditions were well characterized, and groups were treated consistently with animals randomly assigned to exposure groups. The sensitivity of detecting neoplasms was good as high numbers of both sexes were tested. Exposure were at three concentrations for about half a life-span duration (1 year), though observations (1 year) continued to a near life-span duration. However, the highest exposure level did not reach the maximally tolerated level. Most organs were histologically examined, so most neoplasms had the ability of being detected. Although aerosol size was not ideal (slightly over the current upper limit of test guidelines), this paper did show Sb2O3 accumulation and increased clearance half-life in the lung (by 80% in the 4.5 mg/m^3 group). For inert particle, such overload would/could cause lung tumor. The overload was observed at relatively low exposure concentrations (compared to inert particles, such as TiO2) and Sb2O3 toxicity was suspected. It appears conditions that could potentially lead to cancer did persist (Table 9, post-exposure, chronic inflammation in most animals, although hyperplasia was in only very few animals).

### Table D-9. Newton et al. (1994) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 12 months followed by post-exposure observation for 24 months

Utility question	Rating	Rationale			
Study design					
Randomization	+++	Used a computer program to randomly sort animals so that mean group weights were comparable.			
Controls	+++	Use concurrent control at the same number of animals as exposure groups.			
Historical data	No				
Animal model	+++	Normal Fischer rats, which are often used in carcinogenicity studies.			
Statistical power	+++	A large number of rats (65/sex/group) were used.			
Exposure					
Chemical characterization	+++	A blend of lots from 9 producers of antimony trioxide. Highly pure material. Particle size was characterized as having a mass median aerodynamic diameter of $3.76 + 0.84 \mu$ m and a geometric standard deviation of $1.79 + 0.32$ . Exposure concentration was analyzed four times a day and particle sizes were analyzed before the study and every three months. Homogeneity of the exposure chamber was verified by measuring 10 different locations.			
Dosing regimen	++	There were not differences in body weight, survival, or neoplasm incidence, suggesting the dose was not at the maximally tolerated dose.			
Exposure duration	+++	12-month exposure.			
Dose-response	+++	Three exposed levels were used, which covered a 100-fold range.			
Outcome					
Pathology	++	Only heart, airway, and peribronchial lymph nodes were histologically examined.			
Consistency between groups	+++	Consistent treatment and evaluation of groups.			
Study duration	+++	The study duration was 2 years, with 12 months of exposure and 12 months of observation.			
Confounding					
Confounding	+++	Material of high purity. Animal husbandry reported in detail. No significant body weight loss in the treated groups, compared to the control.			
Reporting and analysi	is				
Reporting data and statistics	+++	Since neoplasm incidences were not reported, as they were negative, statistical analysis wasn't reported.			
Combining lesions	+++	No tumor combining, as only three cases [2 males (including one from control), 1 female] were seen.			
Overall utility: ++. There was little concern for confounding as the chemical was pure, exposure conditions were well					

**Overall utility:** ++. There was little concern for confounding as the chemical was pure, exposure conditions were well characterized, and groups were treated consistently with animals randomly assigned to exposure groups. The sensitivity of detecting neoplasms was good as high numbers of both sexes were tested. Exposure were at three concentrations for about half a life-span duration (1 year), though observations (1 year) continued to a near life-span duration. However, the highest exposure level did not reach the maximally tolerated level. Most organs were histologically examined, so most neoplasms had the ability of being detected. Although aerosol size was not ideal (slightly over the current upper limit of test guidelines), this paper did show Sb2O3 accumulation and increased clearance half-life in the lung (by 80% in the 4.5 mg/m^3 group). For inert particle, such overload would/could cause lung tumor. The overload was observed at relatively low exposure concentrations (compared to inert particles, such as TiO2) and Sb2O3 toxicity was suspected. It appears conditions that could potentially lead to cancer did persist (Table 9, post-exposure, chronic inflammation in most animals, although hyperplasia was in only very few animals).

Utility question	Rating	Rationale		
Study design				
Randomization	NR	Not reported.		
Controls	+++	Concurrent controls were used, although animals were housed in different rooms (housing chambers separated to control, low concentration, and high concentration). Otherwise, treatments were the same.		
Historical data	No			
Animal model	++	Only female rats were used		
Statistical power	+	Small number of animals were used. 13-18 animals per group sacrificed at the end of exposure. Less than 10 per group sacrificed between 2 to 12 months post exposure. Less than 20 per group sacrificed 12-months post exposure.		
Exposure				
Chemical characterization	+++	Detailed chemical analysis verified that Sb2O3 was of high purity. Small amounts of arsenic (0.02%) and lead (0.2%) were found as contaminates. Dust size (measured by SEM) was reported as Feret diameter. Presumably this is average from the same particle with rotation. Aerosol concentration in the exposure chamber. The equipment generated aerosols of MMAD less than 15 um, but aerosol sizes were not measured. Based on conversion done in Newton et al 1994 paper Table 2, the MMAD is 5.06 um, which is above the ideal range of rat inhalation study (no more than 4 um).		
Dosing regimen	+++	Another potential concern is the use of pine shaving in the exposure chamber. The rats were not in direct contact with shaving, but metabolism change from pine cannot be excluded. This does not affect the interpretation of this study as all groups were treated the same, but has been suggested by Newton et al 1994 as a factor affecting outcome even though it is based on concerns of increased particulates (rather than rat metabolism). Survival was not reported, but body weight gain was greater than controls, indicating the dose is not close to maximal tolerant dose. Significant increases in neoplasia occurred, indicating the dose leve was high enough to cause carcinogenesis		
Exposure duration	+++	Exposure occurred for up to 1 year, with intermediate sacrifices at 3, 6, 9 months.		
Dose-response	++	Only two dose levels, ranging over 2.5 folds, were used, limiting the examination of a dose response curve.		
Outcome				
Pathology	++	Major organs were examined microscopically.		
Consistency between groups	+++	Consistent treatment among groups, except housed in different rooms.		
Study duration	+++	The study duration was 2 years, with 1 year of exposure and 1 year of observation.		
Confounding				
Confounding	++	Animals in high dose group were heavier than low dose group at the beginning, suggesting slightly different development level. Not all organs appear to have been examined during necropsy.		
Reporting and analysi	s			
Reporting data and statistics	++	While statistic methods were not specified, the data were reported with raw numbers and therefore enables statistical analysis		

### Table D-10. Watt (1983) study of female rats exposed to antimony trioxide by inhalation for 1 year followed by post-exposure observation for 2 years

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Combining lesions	+++	Tumor types were not combined. Scirrhous carcinomas, a pathologically distinctive lung cancer, alone, was significantly increased compared to negative controls.

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemical purity was high and exposure was characterized, though the particle size (converted by Newton et al (year) to be around MMAD 5 um) was over the recommended (1-4 um). Only female rats were used, which eliminates the ability to detect sex differences. The sensitivity to detect neoplasms was low as a small number of rats were used at only two dose levels, though the exposure was near life-span duration. The ability to detect neoplasms, if they exist, was moderate as the organs examined during necropsy were not fully reported. The statistical methods used were not reported. The use of large exposure chamber with pigs inside and pine shaving also increased the chance of exposure to non-Sb2O3 particles (and possible metabolism alternation due to pine shaving and therefore affecting susceptibility).

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

### **Appendix E: Mechanistic and Other Relevant Information**

This appendix first lists the 10 characteristic of carcinogens proposed by Smith *et al.* (2016) and used to organize the information in Section 6 (see Table E-1). The remainder of the appendix contains animal carcinogenic studies of antimony potassium tartrate (Appendix E.1), genotoxicities of antimony compounds (Appendix E.2), effects of antioxidant and inhibitors of enzymes on antimony effects (Appendix E.3), immune effects of compounds containing pentavalent antimony (Appendix E.4), the top ten canonical pathways affected by 6-hour exposure to 20  $\mu$ M antimony(III) potassium tartrate trihydrate (Appendix E.5), and the top 10 upstream regulators of antimony (Appendix E.6).

Number	Characteristic, i.e. the ability of an agent to have an effect to
1	Act as an electrophile either directly or after metabolic activation
2	Be genotoxic
3	Alter DNA repair or cause genomic instability
4	Induce epigenetic alterations
5	Induce oxidative stress
6	Induce chronic inflammation
7	Be immunosuppressive
8	Modulate receptor-mediated effects
9	Cause immortalization
10	Alter cell proliferation, cell death, or nutrient supply

Table E-1.	Ten	characteristics	of	carcinogens
		0114140101101100	•••	our onrogono

Source: Smith et al. 2016.

#### E.1: Studies of antimony(III) potassium tartrate carcinogenicity in experimental animals

This appendix includes neoplasms induced in experimental animals exposed to antimony potassium tartrate (Table E.1-1), details of these animal studies (Table E.1-2) and risk of bias rating of Schroeder et al. (1970) study (male rats in Table E.1-3, female rats in Table E.1-4) and Kanisawa and Schroeder (1969) study (Table E.1-5)

#### Table E.1-1. Neoplasms induced in experimental animal carcinogenicity studies by drinking water studies of antimony potassium tartrate

Studies are presented in the order of descending overall utility.

Species strain/stock*	Site	Classification	Neoplasms (Sex of animal)	Reference
Rat, Long-Evans	None	None	None – (M and F)	Schroeder et al. 1970
Mouse, Swiss CD-1	None	None	None – (M and F)	Schroeder et al. 1968, Kanisawa and Schroeder 1969

F = female, M = male.

Reference and study		Tumor	site – Tumor type	
design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Schroeder et al. 1970	Agent and purity:	Whole body – Tun	nor NOS (M)	<b>Survival</b> : The survival of females at 50% death ( $P < 0.025$
	Antimony potassium tartrate	0	10/50 (20%)	by chi-square analysis) and males and females for longevity (mean age of the last surviving 10%) ( $P < 0.001$ by Student's
Animal: Rat — Long-Evans	NR	5	6/50 (12%)	t test) was significantly reduced compared to untreated
(random bred)		Whole body – Tun	nor NOS (F)	controls.
M, F	Exposure route:	0	14/39 (35.9%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Both males and females were similar to
Animal age at the beginning of exposure: NR (possibly at weaning) Study duration:	Exposure concentrations, frequency, and duration: 0 5 ppm	5	18/47 (38.3%)	controls. Overall utility: [+] The study has low utility because of many limitations, including only reporting grossly visible tumors without organ site or tumor type.
~4 years	not clearly reported (possibly ad libitum x life-span)			
Kanisawa and	Agent and purity:	Whole body – Tumor NOS		Survival: Survival was similar to controls.
Schroeder 1969	Antimony potassium	0	24/71 (33.8%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Males were sporadically lower than controls at
		5	18/76 (23.7%)	90, 150, and 540 days, while females were more consistently

Reference and study		Tumor	site – Tumor type	
design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Animal:	NR	Whole body – Mal	ignant tumor NOS	lower at 150, 360, and 540 days.
Mouse — White Swiss	E	0	8/71 (11.3%)	Other comments: The incidences were reported for both
M+F (combined)	Exposure route: Drinking water	5	6/76 (7.9%)	sexes combined, but it was stated that none of the neoplasms
	0	Whole body – Ber	nign tumor NOS	<b>Overall utility</b> [+] This study is of low utility due to many
Animal age at the	Exposure	0	16/71 (22.5%)	limitations, including only one tested concentration (below
Weanling	concentrations, frequency, and	5	12/76 (15.8%)	maximally tolerated dose for males, and close to or at
	duration:	Mammary gland –	Tumor NOS	substance purity, tumor incidences only reported in
Study duration:	0 5 ug/mL in double	0	1/71 (1.4%)	combined sexes with no histologic information, and lack of
Life span	deionized water	5	3/76 (3.9%)	site specific information (except incidences of three sites in
	ad libitum x life span	Lung – Tumor NOS		determine whether any specific type of tumor had increased
		0	15/71 (21.1%)	in a sex.
		5	10/76 (13.2%)	
		Liver – Tumor	NOS	1
		0	4/71 (5.6%)	
		5	1/76 (1.3%)	

F = female; M = male; n/N = number of animals with neoplasms divided by the total number of animals tested in that dose group; NR = not reported; NOS = not otherwise specified

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	NR	Randomization and initial body weights were not reported.
Controls	+++	Concurrent control group, exposed to untreated drinking water, had the same number of animals as exposure group did.
Historical data		No
Animal model	+++	Both sexes of a random bred strain, which increases external validity.
Statistical power	+++	Large numbers of animals (51 males, 59 females) per concentration group were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	NR	Not reported, not even purity.
Dosing regimen	++	The maximally tolerated dose level was not reached, because the treated group did not show decrased body weight compared to the control group, although the median life spans and longivity (mean age of the last surviving 10%) for both sexes were decrased by the treatment. The dose might not have been high enough to detect neoplastic effects.
Exposure duration	+++	Though exposure duration was never clearly stated, this study appears to use a life time exposure.
Dose-response	+	Only one dose level was tested and no basis for that level was reported. All other elements were administered at the same level, except for lead.
Outcome		· · ·
Pathology	+	Only grossly visible tumors were reported. The methods stated that gross tumors were fixed, but did not state that they were stained or microscopically examined. Consequently, small tumors might have been missed.
Consistency between groups	++	A pneumonia epidemic killed many rats and the death rates varied among the groups
Study duration	+++	Life time study, because the animals were observed until their nature death (as compared to scheduled euthanization after a predetermined exposure period).
Confounding		
Confounding	++	Pneumonia killed various numbers of animals per group, before penicillin treatment controlled the disease. It is unclear that all rats, or only visibly sick rats, received penicillin. Furthermore, the disease might in effect select stronger/healthier animals (than the general population) to complete the study. Additionally, test substance purity was unknown.
Reporting and analysis		
Reporting data and statistics	++	The statistical methods and results of survival measures were reported, but statistical analysis of tumor incidences were not reported.
Combining lesions	+	Tumors were counted based on gross observation, not histological analysis occurred.

Table E.1-3. Schroeder et al. (1970) study of male rats	exposed to antimony(III) potassium tartrate in drinking
water for the life span of the animals	

**Overall utility:** +. The study has low utility because of many limitations, including only reporting grossly visible tumors without organ site or tumor type.

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	NR	Randomization and initial body weights were not reported.
Controls	+++	Concurrent control group, exposed to untreated drinking water, had the same number of animals as exposure group did.
Historical data		No
Animal model	+++	Both sexes of a random bred strain, which increases external validity.
Statistical power	+++	Large numbers of animals (51 males, 59 females) per concentration group were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	NR	Not reported, not even purity.
Dosing regimen	++	The maximally tolerated dose level was not reached, because the treated group did not show decreased body weight compared to the control group, although the median life spans and longevity (mean age of the last surviving 10%) for both sexes were decreased by the treatment. The dose might not have been high enough to detect neoplastic effects.
Exposure duration	+++	Though exposure duration was never clearly stated, this study appears to use a life time exposure.
Dose-response	+	Only one dose level was tested and no basis for that level was reported.
Outcome		
Pathology	+	Only grossly visible tumors were reported. The methods stated that gross tumors were fixed, but did not state that they were stained or microscopically examined. Consequently, small tumors might have been missed.
Consistency between groups	++	A pneumonia epidemic killed many rats and the death rates varied among the groups.
Study duration	+++	Life time study, because the animals were observed until their nature death (as compared to scheduled euthanization after a predetermined exposure period).
Confounding		
Confounding	++	Pneumonia killed various numbers of animals per group, before penicillin treatment controlled the disease. It is unclear that all rats, or only visibly sick rats, received penicillin. Furthermore, the disease might in effect select stronger/healthier animals (than the general population) to complete the study. Additionally, test substance purity was unknown.
Reporting and analysi	s	
Reporting data and statistics	++	The statistical methods and results of survival measures were reported, but statistical analysis of tumor incidences were not reported.
Combining lesions	+	Tumors were counted based on gross observation, not histological analysis occurred.
<b>Overall utility:</b> +. The sorgan site or tumor type	study has lo	ow utility because of many limitations, including only reporting grossly visible tumors without

### Table E.1-4. Schroeder et al. (1970) study of female rats exposed to antimony(III) potassium tartrate in drinking water for the life span of the animals

In the row of species, R = rats, M = mice. In the row of sex, M = males, F = females. In rows of each signaling question, NR = Not reported, +++ = High utility, ++ = Moderate utility, + = Low utility.

### Table E.1-5. Kanisawa and Schroeder (1969) study of male and female (combined) mice exposed to antimony potassium tartrate in drinking water for the lifespan of the animals

Utility question	Rating	Rationale
Study design		
Randomization	NR	Not reported.
Controls	+++	Concurrent control group, exposed to doubly deionized water with added essential trace elements, had the same number of animals as the exposure group did.
Historical data		No
Animal model	+++	Both sexes of random bred mice were used, giving a high level of external validity.
Statistical power	+++	A large number (54) of mice per sex per group were used.
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	NR	No chemical characterization was reported, not even purity.
Dosing regimen	+	The maximally tolerated dose level was not reached, because the treated group did not show decreased body weight compared to the control group. The dose might not have been high enough to detect neoplastic effects.
Exposure duration	+++	Mice were exposed for their lifetimes.
Dose-response	+	Only one concentration was tested and no rational for the dose selection was reported. Dose response relationships cannot be evaluated due to only one dose level.
Outcome		
Pathology	++	Only gross lesions were microscopically evaluated.
Consistency between groups	++	The treated and control groups were treated the same while mice were alive. The examination of organs/tissues varied, because only gross lesions (not all major organs) were examined microscopically.
Study duration	+++	The study duration was lifetime, up to the animals' natural death.
Confounding		
Confounding	+++	Testing substance purity and supplier were unknown. Exposure to antimony via other sources (feed, housing) was negligible because the feed was antimony free and metal exposure via housing was minimized.
Reporting and analysis	5	
Reporting data and statistics	++	Although tumor incidents were not statistically analyzed in the study, the data were reported and enabled us to conduct statistical analysis. Statistical methods were described as "numerical data were treated by Chi-squire analysis and by Student's t test", but the reported probability in tables did not specify the result was from which method.
Combining lesions	++	Tumor incidence was reported for two sexes combined only, instead of male and female separately. Site specific (lung, liver, mammary gland, and other) information was limited to tumor incidence, with no subtype. Tumors were also grouped by origin (epithelial, non-epithelial) along with being benign or malignant. Overall information did not allow detecting of specific tumor type increase in either sex.

**Overall utility:** +. Due to many limitations, including only one tested concentration (below maximally tolerated dose), unknown test substance purity, tumor incidences only reported in combined sexes with no histologic information, and lack of site specific information (except incidences of three sites in sexes combined), this study is of low utility. Data lack sufficient details to allow us determine whether any specific type of tumor had increased.

#### E.2: Genetox tables

The genotoxic tables are organized by endpoints: mutations (Table E.2-1), mutations in the lung of mice and rats (Table E.2-2), DNA damage (Table E.2-3), chromosomal aberrations (Table E.2-4).

#### Table E.2-1. Genotoxicity of antimony compounds: Mutations<sup>a,b c</sup>

Mutation studies are listed hierarchically according to the following criteria:

- 1 By genotoxicity endpoints;
- 2 By domain of target species (eukaryote and then prokaryote);
- 3 By testing system (e.g., *E. coli* strains and then *Salmonella* strains); and
- 4 By compound in the order of antimony(III) trioxide (bold) and then antimony(III) trichloride.

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Testing system/exposure duration	Assay endpoint	Comments	Reference
Mammalian cells		1	Γ	Γ	
Point mutations and chromosome deletions	Antimony trioxide	L5178Y mouse lymphoma cell line (+/-S9, 2 experiments) 4-hour exposure duration	Negative (concentrations tested: 6–50 µg/mL)	Precipitate formed at top dose level; authors report no significant toxicity at these doses	Elliott <i>et al.</i> 1998
Bacteria					
A/T base pair substitutions	Antimony trioxide	<i>E. coli</i> B/r WP2 <i>try</i> and WP2 <i>hcr try</i> (-S9, spot test method)	Negative (concentrations tested: 0.05–0.5 M)	Microbial toxicity not reported	Kanematsu <i>et al.</i> 1980
A/T base pair substitution	Antimony trioxide	<i>E. coli</i> WP2P (+/-S9; plate incorporation and pre- incubation protocols) 3-day exposure duration	Negative (concentrations tested: 100–5000 µg/plate)	Microbial toxicity not reported	Elliott <i>et al.</i> 1998
A/T base pair substitution	Antimony trioxide	<i>E. coli</i> WP2P <i>uvr</i> A (+/-S9; plate incorporation and pre- incubation protocols) 3-day exposure duration	Negative (concentrations tested: 100–5000 µg/plate)		Elliott <i>et al.</i> 1998
A/T base pair substitutions	Antimony trichloride	<i>E. coli</i> B/r WP2 <i>try</i> and WP2 <i>hcr try</i> (-S9, spot test method)	Negative (concentrations tested: 0.05–0.5 M)	Microbial toxicity not reported	Kanematsu <i>et al.</i> 1980

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Testing system/exposure duration	Assay endpoint	Comments	Reference
G/C base pair substitutions	Antimony trioxide	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1535, TA 1537, TA100, TA98 (+/-S9 ; plate incorporation and pre- incubation protocols) 3-day exposure duration	Negative (concentrations tested: 100–5000 µg/plate)	Microbial toxicity not reported	Elliott <i>et al.</i> 1998
Frameshift mutations	Antimony trioxide	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA 1537 and 98 (+/-S9; plate incorporation and 60 min pre-incubation protocols) 3-day exposure duration	Negative (concentrations tested: 100–5000 µg/plate)	Microbial toxicity not reported	Elliott <i>et al.</i> 1998
Base pair substitution and frameshift mutations	Antimony trioxide	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1568 (-S9, spot test method)	Negative (concentrations tested: 0.05–0.5 M)	Duration of chemical exposure for spot test assay not reported; microbial toxicity not reported	Kanematsu <i>et</i> al. 1980
Base pair substitution and frameshift mutations	Antimony trioxide	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA100, TA98 (+/-S9; 20 min pre-incubation modification)	Negative in 3 experiments (concentrations tested: 0.43– 1.71 µg/plate)	Survival after pre- incubation step reported	Kuroda <i>et al.</i> 1991
Base pair substitution and frameshift mutations	Antimony trichloride	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1568 (-S9, spot test method)	Negative (concentrations tested: 0.05–0.5 M)	Duration of chemical exposure for spot test assay not reported; microbial toxicity not reported	Kanematsu <i>et</i> <i>al</i> .1980
Base pair substitution and frameshift mutations	Antimony trichloride	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA100, TA98 (+/-S9; 20 min pre-incubation modification)	Negative in 3 experiments (concentrations tested: 625– 5000 µg/plate)	Survival after pre- incubation step reported	Kuroda <i>et al.</i> 1991

<sup>a</sup>All data in prokaryotes were derived bacterial reverse mutation assays. The single eukaryotic study data was derived from the mouse lymphoma TK gene mutation assay. <sup>b</sup>Levels of significance are designated as follows: \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Testing system	Assay endpoint		Comments	Reference
Egfr mutations	Antimony	Lung tumors from exposed	Mutation	Frequency		NTP 2016
	trioxide	B6C3F1/N mice. Both non-tumor lung and	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	# with mutation/# tissues assayed		
		spontaneous tumors from control mice.	0 (nontumor lung)	0/10		
			0 (tumor lung)	0/9		
			3 (tumor lung)	11/28*		
			10 (tumor lung)	11/26*		
			30 (tumor lung)	15/26**		
Egfr mutations	Antimony	Lung tumors from exposed	Mutation	Frequency	Increase was	NTP 2016
	trioxide	Wistar Han rats. Both non-tumor lung and spontaneous tumors from control mice.	Concentration (mg/m³)	# with mutation/# tissues assayed	<u>not</u> statistically significant.	
			0 (nontumor lung)	0/11		
			0 (tumor lung)	0/4		
			3 (tumor lung)	3/5		
			10 (tumor lung)	6/11		
			30 (tumor lung)	4/10		
Kras mutations	Antimony	Lung tumors from exposed Wistar Han rats. Both non-tumor lung and	Mutation	Frequency	Increase was <u>not</u> statistically significant.	NTP 2016
	trioxide		Concentration (mg/m³)	# with mutation/# tissues assayed		
		control mice.	0 (nontumor lung)	0/11		
			0 (tumor lung)	0/4		
			3 (tumor lung)	0/5	-	
			10 (tumor lung)	1/11	-	
			30 (tumor lung)	0/10		
Kras mutations	Antimony	Lung tumors from exposed	Mutation	Frequency	Increase was	NTP 2016
	trioxide	B6C3F1/N mice.	Concentration	# with mutation/#	not statistically	

Table F.2-2. Mutations in the lund	g of mice and rats after two-	vear inhalation exposure	e to antimony trioxide	(NTP 2016).
	g of filles and fats after two-	year minalation exposure	c to antimony thoxide	(1111 2010).

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Testing system	Assay	endpoint	Comments	Reference
		Both non-tumor lung and	(mg/m³)	tissues assayed	significant.	
	spontaneou control mic	spontaneous tumors from	0 (nontumor lung)	0/10		
		control nince.	0 (tumor lung)	3/9		
			3 (tumor lung)	9/28		
			10 (tumor lung)	15/26		
			30 (tumor lung)	10/26		

#### Table E.2-3. Genotoxic DNA damaging effects of antimony compounds

Listing order of the studies are as follows:

- I Assay, in the order of metaphase analysis, micronucleus assay, and sister chromatid exchange assay;
- II Target system, in the order of studies in human cells, animal studies, *in vitro* studies, and biochemical studies;
- III Compound, in the order of antimony(III) trioxide (bold), antimony(III) trichloride, and other antimony(III) compounds.

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system		Assay endpoint <sup>a</sup>		Comments	References	
DNA Damage (epid	lemiological studi	es) <sup>b</sup>							
DNA strand breaks, alkali-	Occupational <b>antimony</b>	Alkaline Blood FPG- lymphocytes from		BloodFrequency of subjects with oxidative DNAlymphocytes fromdamage		Sb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> levels for direct and indirect exposure	Cavallo et al. 2002		
labile sites, oxidized	trioxide	modified comet assay	occupationally exposed workers	ed occupationally assay exposed workers	Conc.	(µg/m³)	# with oxidative damage/total	groups lower than OSHA/NIOSH PEL	
purines		(-S9)	(	)	3/23	and REL for workplace. Moderate oxidative DNA damage observed			
			0.12 =	= 0.11	11/17				
				0.052 =	± 0.038	1/6	in direct exposure		
				Relative risk of D		NA damage	group $(0.12 \pm 0.11)$		
				Conc. (µg/m³)	Adjusted relative risk	95% CI	concomitant exposures not addressed.		
				0	1	n/a			
				0.12 ±	14.2**	2.7-73.4			

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system		Assay endp	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
				0.11				
				$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \pm \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	1.7	0.1–22.5		
				Tail mom	ent values for	FPG-treated Cells		
				Conc.	(µg/m³)	Mean ± SD		
				(	)	$24.4 \pm 9.51$		
				0.12 =	± 0.11	$32.4 \pm 16.3$		
				0.052 =	± 0.038	$28.8\pm5.61$		
				Tail mo	ment values fo	r untreated cells		
				Conc.	(µg/m³)	Mean ± SD		
				(	)	$16.3 \pm 6.59$		
				0.12 =	± 0.11	$14.6\pm8.29$		
-				0.052 =	± 0.038	$18.3 \pm 8.78$		
DNA strand breaks, alkali- labile sites, oxidized purines	Occupational antimony trioxide	AP sites quantified using ELISA technique	Blood lymphocytes from occupationally exposed workers (-S9)	The quantity of DNA dama by the number of AP sites/ the studied workers was sig 0.004) higher compared to the control group and a sign correlation was found between the quantity of DN form of increased AP sites) antimony level among wor < 0.001); Total oxidative c measured by ELISA) was 1 between workers and contr		hage (determined $s/1 \times 10^5$ bp) among ignificantly (p- to that recorded for gnificant positive NA damage (in the s) and urinary orkers (r = 0.873, p capacity (also not different trols.	The number of measured abasic sites ranged from 17.22 (control group) to 26.88 (exposed workers)/ $1 \times 10^5$ bp. This range is higher than expected.	El Shanawany <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2017
DNA damage (in vi	tro studies in hum	an cells)		1				
DNA strand breaks, alkali-	Antimony trichloride	Alkaline comet assay	Human whole blood or human	Mean tail moment in human whole blood in comet assay without proteinase K		Significance tested by Kruskal-Wallis one-	Schaumloffel and Gebel	
labile sites, DNA-protein	(concentra- tions tested:	+/- proteinase K	lymphocytes exposed ex vivo	Conc. (μM)	Time. (hrs)	Mean ± SD	way ANOVA on ranks.	1998

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system		Assay end	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
crosslinks	1–50 µM)		(-S9)	0	2.5	$1.28 \pm 0.10$		
				1	2.5	$1.26 \pm 0.01$		
				5	2.5	$1.32 \pm 0.08$		
				10	2.5	$1.32 \pm 0.04$		
				25	2.5	$1.47 \pm 0.07$		
				50	2.5	$1.75 \pm 0.08*$		
				Mean tail n come	noment in hum t assay withou	an lymphocytes in t proteinase K		
				Conc. (µM)	Time (hrs)	Mean ± SD		
				0	2.5	$1.00 \pm 0.02$		
				1	2.5	$1.23 \pm 0.28$		
				5	2.5	$1.39 \pm 0.19*$		
				10	2.5	$1.56 \pm 0.04*$		
				25	2.5	$1.64 \pm 0.03$ ***		
				50	2.5	$2.14 \pm 0.01$ ***		
				Mean tail n com	noment in hum let assay with	an lymphocytes in proteinase K		
				Conc. (µM)	Time (hrs)	Mean ± SD		
				0	2.5	$1.08 \pm 0.11$		
				1	2.5	$1.13 \pm 0.09$		
				5	2.5	$1.30\pm0.20$		
				10	2.5	$1.47 \pm 0.13*$		
				25	2.5	$1.53 \pm 0.08*$		
				50	2.5	$1.94 \pm 0.30$ ***		
DNA damage (anin	nal studies)							
DNA strand	Antimony	In vivo			Percent tail	DNA	Trend tests show	NTP 2016
breaks and	trioxide	exposure		Dose	Time	Mean ± SE	significant increase for	

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program.

It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any NTP determination or policy.

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system		Assay end	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
alkali labile		(inhalation)		(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mo.)		both lung tissue of	
sites	NC: air	Alkaline		0	12	$25.6 \pm 0.78$	males and females	
		comet assay		3	12	33.7 ± 2.62*	exposed to trioxide; No	
				10	12	33.5 ± 2.02**	DNA observed in	
				30	12	37.5 ± 2.28***	leukocytes of males or	
			Lung of female		Percent tail	DNA	females exposed to trioxide Normally	
			mice exposed via	Dose	Time	Mean ± SE	distributed data	
			inhalation for 12	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mo.)		analyzed by	
			monuis	0	12	$32.8 \pm 1.11$	independent sample's t-	
				3	12	$35.8 \pm 2.09$	regression; data that	
				10	12	$36.4 \pm 2.65$	were not normally	
				30	12	45.5 ± 2.32***	distributed were analyzed by the Mann- Whitney test followed by the Kendall rank correlation test	
DNA strand breaks and alkali labile sites	Antimony trioxide NC: air	In vivo exposure (inhalation) Alkaline comet assay	Lung and blood leukocytes of male and female rats exposed via inhalation for 12 months	No statistica observed in leukocytes c either sex	Ily significan percent tail D or lung tissue	t increases were NA in blood in exposed rats of	Normally distributed data analyzed by independent sample's t- test; data that were not normally distributed were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test followed by the Kendall rank correlation test	NTP 2016
DNA damage ( <i>in vi</i>	<i>tro</i> studies in non	-human mammal	ian cells)					
DNA strand breaks and alkali labile sites	Antimony trichloride	Alkaline comet assay	V79 Chinese hamster cells exposed <i>in vitro</i> (-S9)	Tail momen minimum do difference co results obtai proteinase K	t was signific ose of 1 µM S ould be found ned in presen	antly* elevated at a b(III); no comparing the ce and absence of	DNA damage observed below cytotoxic levels; antimony uptake measured	Gebel et al. 1998
admage (buot	5							

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system	Assay endr	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
Growth in	Antimony	B. subtilis	B. subtilis	HI17 (Rec+) and M45 (Rec	c-) inhibition length	Used spore plate	Kuroda et al.
recombination- repair deficient bacterial strain	trioxide	rec assay	M45(rec-) and H17(rec+)	Conc. (µg/plate)	Difference in Inhibition length (mm)	method	1991
	Kanamycin			NC (5)	0		
	(5, 10 20 ug/plate)			NC (10)	0		
	µg/plate)			NC (20)	0.5		
	PC:			PC (0.05)	8.0		
	Mitomycin C			PC (0.1)	8.0		
	(0.03, 0.1, and 0.2			PC (0.2)	7.0		
	µg/plate)			0.3	2.5		
				0.6	4.0		
				1.1	4.5		
Growth in	Antimony trioxide	imony     B. subtilis       xide     rec assay	<i>B. subtilis</i> M45(rec-) and H17(rec+) (-S9)	HI17 (Rec+) and M45 (Rec	c-) inhibition length	Examined 127 metals;	Kanematsu
repair deficient bacterial strain				Solution conc. (M)	Difference in inhibition length (mm)	method; Included cold incubation step to	<i>et al</i> . 1980
	other metals tested			0.05	5	increase contact of metal with bacteria	
Growth in	Antimony	B. subtilis	B. subtilis	HI17 (Rec+) and M45 (Rec-) inhibition length		Used spore plate	Kuroda et al.,
recombination- repair deficient bacterial strain	trichloride	rec assay	M45(rec-) and H17(rec+) (-S9)	Conc. (μg /plate)	Difference in inhibition length (mm)	method	1991
	Kanamycln			NC (5)	0		
	(5, 10 20 ug/plate)			NC (10)	0		
	µg/plate)			NC (20)	0.5		
	PC:			PC (0.05)	8.0		
	Mitomycin C			PC (0.1)	8.0		
	(0.03, 0.1,			PC (0.2)	7.0		

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system		Assay end	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
	and 0.2			6.3		1.5		
	µg/plate)			12.5		4.5		
				23		4.5		
Growth in recombination- repair deficient bacterial strain	Antimony trichloride	<i>B. subtilis</i> rec assay	<i>B. subtilis</i> M45(rec-) and H17(rec+) (-S9)	Antimony tr rec assay (te	richloride resu ested at 0.05M	ılt was negative in I)	Antimony pentachloride also negative	Nishioka <i>et</i> al. 1975
Growth in	Antimony	B. subtilis	B. subtilis	HI17 (Rec+	) and M45 (Ree	c-) inhibition length	Examined 127 metals;	Kanematsu
recombination- repair deficient bacterial strain	trichloride	rec assay	M45(rec-) and H17(rec+) (-S9)	Solution	Conc. (M)	Difference in inhibition length (mm)	Used streak plate method; Included cold incubation step to	et al. 1980
	other metals tested			0.	01	7	increase contact of metal with bacteria	
Induction of recombination- repair genes	Antimony trichloride	SOS chromotest for genotoxicity	<i>E. coli</i> PQ37 derived from strain GC4436 (-S9)	SOS chrome trichloride ( µM)	SOS chromotest was negative for antim trichloride (concentration tested: $11-70$ $\mu$ M)		Cytotoxicity observed at 354 µM	Lantzsch H and Gebel T, 1997
Induction of recombination- repair genes	Antimony trichloride	Umu test for genotoxicity	S. typhimurium TA1535/pSK1002 (-S9)	Umu test wa trichloride ( µM)	as negative for concentration	r antimony s tested: 1.6–820	Data not reported	Yamamoto et al., 2002
DNA Damage (biod	hemical assay)							
plasmid DNA nicking	Trimethyl- stibine	Plasmid DNA	Plasmid pBR322 exposed <i>in vitro</i>	Estimated	Quantity of Op Plasmic	ben Circular form of d <sup>d</sup>	Chemical reactions to produce trimethylstibine	Andrewes et al. 2004
_		nicking	(gaseous phase) to	Dose	• (µM)	Result	were conducted in situ;	
	potassium	assay	test reactions for 30 min.	Trimethyl	NC	+/-	Plus and minus designations were	
	antimony 50 mms	-stibine	5	+/-	estimated from images			
				20	+/-	only (no quantitation of nicked and supercoiled		
	PC:		50	+	forms).			
	Trimethyl-				200	++	Negative results were	
	arshie				500	+++	reported for potassium	

Genotoxicity endpoint	Antimony form	Assay name	Testing system	Assay end	point <sup>a</sup>	Comments	References
				5000	+++	antimony tartrate.	

ALL = Acute lymphoblastic leukemia; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; conc. = concentration; ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FPG = formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase; hr =hour(s); mo = month(s); NC = negative control; NR=not reported; PC = positive control; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error; VC = vehicle control.i

<sup>a</sup>Levels of significance are designated as follows: \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001.

<sup>b</sup>DNA damage estimated as quantity of open circular (vs supercoiled) forms from images of plasmids electrophoretically separated in ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels.

#### Table E.2-4. Genotoxicity of antimony compounds – chromosomal aberrations, micronucleus, and sister chromatic exchange<sup>a, b, c</sup>

Studies are listed hierarchically according to the following criteria:

- 1 Assay, in the order of assays for chromosomal aberrations, micronucleus, and sister chromatid exchange.
- 2 Target system, in the order of studies in human cells, animal studies, *in vitro* studies, biochemical studies.
- 3 Compound, in the order of antimony trioxide (bold), antimony trichloride, other antimony(III) compounds.

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay endpoint		Comments	References
Chromosomal abo	errations						
Antimony	In vitro	Human peripheral	Mean % a	berrant cells exclu	iding gaps	Precipitate formed at top	Elliot et al.
<b>trioxide</b> NC: dimethyl sulfoxide (10	exposure Metaphase analysis	lymphocytes with 2 hr exposure to colcemid (- S9)	Group	HIC/LEC (μg/mL, unless specified)	Mean (%)	dose level	1998
μL/mL)	L/mL) Exposure time: 20 hr and 44 hr Dose: 10, 50, 100 ug/mL	Exposure time: 20 hr	NC	-	0.5-1.5		
DC		and 44 hr Dose: 10, 50, 100 μg/mL	PC	-	22.0 -32.0**		
PC: mitomvcin C			Donor 1, 20 hr	100	2.0		
(0.2 µg/mL			Donor 2, 20 hr	100	12.5**		
for-S9) or cyclo- phosphamide (50 µg/mL for			Donor 2. 44 hr	100	4.5*		
		Human peripheral	NC	-	1.0-1.5		
		lymphocytes with 2 hr	PC	_	26-34.0**		
+89)		exposure to colcemid (+S9)	Donor 1, 20 hr	50	4.5*		
		Dose: Same as above	Donor 2, 20 hr	100	9.5**		

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay endpoint		Comments	References
			Donor 2. 44 hr	100	2.0		
Antimony sodium tartrate	<i>In vitro</i> exposure Metaphase analysis	Human leucoytes Exposure time: 48 hr Concentration: 2.3 nM	12% of cells with	n chromatid breal	ks (P < 0.05)	Purity of test compound not reported; toxicity (marked reduction in mitotic index) reported at 10 nM	Paton and Allison 1972
Antimony trioxide	<i>In vivo</i> exposure	Sprague-Dawley rat bone marrow cells (-	Frequency of c exclu	ells with chromosouding gaps in male	omal aberration e rats	Body-weight gain was reduced (<10%) in the	Kirkland <i>et al.</i> 2007
VC:	Ex vivo	S9) Exposure time: Once	Group	HIC/LEC (mg/kg)	Mean% ± SD	top dose group of treated rats of both	
HPMC/poly-	metaphase	daily for 21 consecutive	VC	20	$0\pm 0$	sexes over the 3-week	
sorbate	analysis	days by oral gavage (except PC	PC	20	13 ± 6.63***	accing period.	
PC: Cyclo-	administered on only	Male rat	1000	$0\pm 0$			
phosphamide		on day 21) Dose: 250, 500, 1000 mg/kg	Female rat	1000	$0 \pm 0$		
Antimony	In vivo	Male Swiss albino mice	Frequency of aberrations excluding gap			Purity of test compound	Gurnani et al.
trioxide	exposure	bone marrow cells (-	LEC (mg/kg)	Time (days)	Mean % ± SD	not reported;	1992b
NC: distilled	Ex vivo	Exposure by daily oral	NC	7	$1.4 \pm 1.140$	for 7 and 14 days for	
water	metaphase	gavage on days 7, 14	400	7	$2.2 \pm 0.447*$	analysis including and	
	analysis	and 21.	NC	14	$1.6 \pm 0.547$	excluding gaps (not shown in this table)	
		mg/kg	400	14	$3.2 \pm 0.447*$	No increases in	
			NC	21	$1.6 \pm 0.547$	chromosomal	
		400	21	$4.6 \pm 0.547*$	aberrations was observed after single acute exposure at same doses and measured 6, 12, 18 and 24 hours); Highest dose was lethal.		
Antimony	In vivo	Female Swiss albino	Frequency	of aberrations inc	cluding gap	Source and purity of test	Gurnani <i>et al</i> .

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay endpoint		Comments	References
trichloride	exposure	mice bone marrow cells	LEC (mg/kg)	Time (hrs)	Mean% ± SD	compound not reported	1992a
	Ex vivo	(-S9)	NC	6	$1.6 \pm 0.547$	Test for trend significant for 6 12 18 and 24 hr	
NC: distilled	analysis	Dose: 70, 140, 233.3 mg/kg	70	6	$2.6 \pm 0.547$	analysis including and	
water	Single ex gavage ar 12, 18 and	Single exposure by oral	NC	12	$1.0 \pm 1.0$	excluding gaps (not shown in this table).	
		gavage analyzed at 6,	70	12	$3.0 \pm 0.0$		
		12, 18 and 24 hrs	NC	18	$1.6 \pm 0.547$		
			70	18	$3.2 \pm 0.836$		
			NC	24	$1.0 \pm 0.0$		
			70	24	$4.2\pm1.095$		
Potassium	In vivo	Male rats bone marrow	Metaphases	with aberrations e	xcluding gap	Similar findings for	El Nahas <i>et al</i> .
antimony tartrate	exposure <i>Ex vivo</i> metaphase analysis	ure (-S9) Exposure via single intraperitoneal injection at each dose: Also	LEC (mg/kg, unless specified)	Time after treatment (hr, unless specified)	%	aberrations including gaps but statistical analysis not performed	1982
untreated		tested repeated	NC	n/a	0.7		
animals		exposure (daily for 5	2.0	6	2.0*		
		Dose: 2.0, 8.4, 14.8 mg/	2.0	24	2.4*		
		kg	8.4	48	5.2*		
			2.0 mg/kg/day x 5 days	-	7.6*		
Micronuclei							
Occupational antimony trioxide	Epidemiology study Sister chromatid exchange assay	Blood lymphocytes from textile workers exposed to low levels of antimony trioxide 23 exposed workers: 17 high exposure (0.12 $\pm$ 11 $\mu$ /m <sup>3</sup> ) and 6 lower exposure (0.052 $\pm$ 0.038 $\mu$ /m <sup>3</sup> )	Mean micronucle differ between co	ei/1000 binucleat	ed cells did not xposure groups	High exposure well below OHSA permissible exposure levels and NIOSH recommended exposure levels Exposure groups had similar ages, and smoking habits	Cavallo <i>et al.</i> 2002

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable

information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any NTP determination or policy.

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay endpoint		Comments	References
Antimony	In vitro	Human peripheral	Inductio	on of micronuclei k	by Sb(III)	Co-incubation with	Schaumloffel
trichloride	exposure Micronucleus	lumphocytes (-S9) Doses: 0, 0.5, 2, 5, 25	LEC (µM)	Time (hrs)	MN/1000 BN, mean ± SD	SOD or CAT had no effect on micronucleus	N and Gebel T, 1998
PC:	test	μΜ	0	20	10 ± 1.4	frequency; Statistical significant in MN	
mitomycin C (data not shown)	In vivo Male mice peripheral	5	20	30.5 ± 2.1	observed in second experiment at 5, 10 and $25\mu M$		
Antimony trioxide	<i>In vivo</i> exposure	Male mice peripheral blood erythrocytes	No significa PCEs/1	nt increase in mi ,000 PCEs in ma	cronucleated le mice	Twenty thousand CD71+ reticulocytes	NTP 2017a
NC: air	Ex vivo	exposed via inhalation	Micronu	cleated NCEs/1,0	00 NCEs	(PCE)	
1101 uli	micronucleus test	for 12 months. Dose: $0, 3, 10, 30$	LEC (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Time (mo.)	Mean±SE	were scored per animal for the presence of	
	lost	$mg/m^3$	30	12	$1.93 \pm 0.10$ ***	micronuclei and $1 \times 10^6$	
		Female mice peripheral blood erythrocytes	No significa PCEs/1,	nt increase in mi 000 PCEs in fem	cronucleated ale mice	erythrocytes (NCE) were counted for micronuclei William's	
		exposed via inhalation	Micronucleated NCEs/1,000 NCEs			and Dunn's test were	
		Dose: 0, 3, 10, 30	LEC (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Time (mo.)	Mean±SE	used for pairwise	
	mg/m <sup>3</sup>		30	12	1.38 ± 0.09***	Jonckheere's test and linear regression used for trend significance. MN frequency in NCEs but not PCEs significant by trend test ( $P < 0.001$ ) in both sexes.	
Antimony trioxide NC: air	In vivo exposure Ex vivo micronucleus	Male rat peripheral blood erythrocytes exposed via inhalation for 12 months	No significant in PCEs/1,000 PCE NCEs in male rat	crease in micron s or micronculea ts.	ucleated ted NCEs/1000	Twenty thousand CD71+ reticulocytes (PCE) were scored per animal	NTP 2017a
	test	Female rat peripheral blood erythrocytes	No significant in PCEs/1,000 PCE	crease in micron s or micronculea	ucleated ted NCEs/1000	for the presence of micronuclei and $1 \times 10^{6}$ erythrocytes (NCE)	

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program.

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration	Assay endpoint	Comments	References
		exposed via inhalation for 12 months	NCEs in female rats.	were counted for micronuclei. William's and Dunn's test were used for pairwise significance, and Jonckheere's test and linear regression used for trend significance. No significant changes were observed in MN frequency in rats of either sex.	
Antimony trichloride	<i>In vitro</i> exposure Micronucleus test	Human fibroblast cells (-S9) Human bronchial epithelial cells (BES-6) (-S9) Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO-K1) (-S9) Exposure time: 4 hr, Dose: 50–400 µM	Positive findings for all cell types at all doses	$LD_{50} = 40 \ \mu M$ in fibroblast cells $LD_{50} = 80 \ \mu M$ in BES-6 cells $LD_{50} = 180 \ \mu M$ in CHO-K1 cells	Huang <i>et al.</i> 1998
Antimony trioxide VC: DMSO PC: Cyclo- phosphamide (20 mg/kg)	In vivo exposure Micronucleus test	Mouse bone marrow (- S9) male and females Single dose study Exposure time: 24 and 48 hr Dose: 5000 mg/kg by oral gavage Repeated dose study: Exposure time: 8, 15 and 22 days Dose: 400, 667, or 1000 mg/kg by oral gavage	No increases in mean incidence of MPE/1000 PE in the single dose study (males and females) or in the repeated dose study (sex not identified).	Significantly decreased frequency of polychromatic erythrocytes observed in females at 24 hr in the single dose experiment.	Elliot <i>et al.</i> 1998
Antimony	In vivo	Sprague-Dawley male	No increase in the frequency of micronucleated		Kirkland et al.

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable

information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program.

It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any NTP determination or policy.

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay end	dpoint		Comments	References
trioxide VC: HPMC/poly- sorbate PC: Cyclo- phosphamide (20 mg/kg)	exposure Micronucleus test	and female rat bone marrow cells (-S9) Exposure time: 21 days (except for PCs) by oral gavage Dose: 250, 500, 1000 mg/kg	PCE in male and	l female rat	S			2007
Antimony	In vitro	Chinese hamster V79	Mean	number of	micron	uclei	Study measured both	Gebel T <i>et al.</i> ,
trioxide	Micronucleus test with	cells Exposure time: 24 hr	Group	LEC (µl	M)	Mean	antimony uptake in cells and cytotoxicity (50%	1998
VC <sup>.</sup> DMSO	cytokinesis	Dose: 2–50 µM	VC	_		9.5	neutral red uptake was	
(25 μL)	block	2000. 2 00 p.m	PC	_		45.5	found with SbCl <sub>3</sub> at 83	
PC: Mitomycin C (0.5 µM)			Antimony trioxide	25		17.5	μΜ	
Sister chromatid	exchange							
Occupational antimony trioxide	Epidemiology study Sister chromatid exchange assay	Peripheral blood lymphocytes from textile workers exposed to low levels of antimony trioxide 23 exposed workers: 17 high exposure $(0.12 \pm 11 \ \mu/m^3)$ and 6 lower exposure $(0.052 \pm 0.038 \ \mu/m^3)$ 23 controls	Mean SCE did n two exposure gro	ot differ be oups	etween	controls and	High exposure well below OHSA permissible exposure levels and NIOSH recommended exposure levels Exposure groups had similar ages, and smoking habits	Cavallo <i>et al.</i> 2002
Antimony trioxido	In vitro	Human peripheral		SCE/c	ell		NC was DMSO, and it	Gebel <i>et al.</i> ,
unoxide	exposure	biood lymphocytes	LEC (µM	)		Mean ± SD	is unclear whether the 0	199/

Substance	Exposure and assay name	Testing system and exposure duration		Assay endp	oint	Comments	References
(dissolved in distilled water) NC: DMSO	Sister chromatid exchange assay	from healthy non- smokers aged 25- Human 35 years (-S9) Exposure time: 24 hrs	0 0.5	0 0.5		uM result was from distilled water or DMSO. No PC was stated in the study.	
						Results are from 60 metaphase scored on two slides.	
Antimony	In vitro	Human peripheral		SCE/cel	I	No PC was stated in the	Gebel <i>et al.</i> ,
(dissolved in	exposure	blood lymphocytes from healthy non-	LEC (µM)	)	Mean ± SD	study. Results are from 60 metaphases scored	1997
DMSO)	chromatid	smokers aged 25–35	0		$8.8 \pm 4.0$	on two slides.NC was	
NC: DMSO	exchange assay	years (-S9) Exposure time: 24 hr	1		13.8 ± 5.5**	DMSO, and it is unclear whether the 0 uM result was from distilled water or DMSO.	
Antimony	In vitro	Chinese hamster V79	Frequency of sister chromatid exchanges/metaphase			<b>e</b> $Sb_{2}^{V}O_{5}$ was negative in	Kuroda <i>et al.</i> ,
trioxide	exposure	cells	LEC (µg/mL)	Time (hrs	6) Mean ± SD	the SCE assay; Similar	1991
NC: Water	Sister	Exposure time: 28 hr Dose: $0.09, 0.34$ µg/mI	NC	28	$6.3 \pm 2.5$	although LEC was 0.17	
$(100 \ \mu L)$	exchange assay	Dose. 0.09-0.54 µg/IIIL	PC	28	56.0 ± 9.3**	µg/mL	
			0.09	28	10.6 ± 3.7**		
PC: Mytomycin C (0.01 μg/mL)							
Antimony	In vitro	Chinese hamster V79	Frequency of sist	er chromatid	exchanges/metapha	<b>e</b> $Sb^{V}Cl_{5}$ was negative in	Kuroda <i>et al.</i> ,
trichloride	exposure	cells	Conc. (ug/mL)	Time (hrs	i) Mean ± SD	the SCE assay. Toxic at	1991
NC: Water	chromatid	Exposure time: 28 nr	NC	28	$4.5 \pm 2.2$	results in experiment 2,	
$(100 \ \mu L)$	exchange assay	Dose. 1.5-20 µg/IIIL	PC	28	46.8 ± 8.6**	although LEC was 5	
PC: Mytomycin C			2.5	28	7.5 ± 4.3*	— μg/mL.	
(0.01 µg/mL)							

<sup>a</sup>Provided are the form of the test compound, study details including the testing system and exposure duration, assay endpoint results for test compounds and positive and negative controls, comments provided by reviewers, and reference. <sup>b</sup>Abbreviations used in this table are as follows: b.w. = body weight HIC=Highest ineffective concentration LEC=Lowest effective concentration NC=Negative control PC=Positive Control VC=Vehicle Control hr(s)=Hour(s) mo=Months NR=not reported CMC-Na= sodium carboxymethylcellulose FISH= fluorescence in situ hybridization <sup>c</sup>Levels of significance are designated as follows: \*p<0.05 \*\*p<0.01 \*\*\*p<0.001

## E.3: Effects of antioxidants and inhibitors of oxidative stress related enzymes on cells exposed to compounds containing trivalent antimony

#### Additional treatment (besides antimony Oxidative stress and MMP and cell Comparison Cell types exposure) damage death group (cells) Reference Antimony (III) trioxide **↓** GSH $\checkmark$ MMP<sup>a</sup> BSO, an inhibitor of γ-Lösler *et al*. LOUCY, exposed to CCRFglutamylcysteine Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> alone 2009 $\uparrow$ cell death CEM, HLsynthetase 60, K-562 HL-60, K-MS, an inhibitor of $\wedge$ cell death exposed to Lösler *et al*. 562 glutathione peroxidase Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> alone 2009 K-562 AT, an inhibitor of $\uparrow$ cell death exposed to Lösler et al. catalase Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> alone 2009 CCRF-NaAsc, an antioxidant, $\uparrow$ cell death Lösler et al. exposed to CEM, Kbut able to act as an Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> alone 2009 oxidant under oxidative 562 stress NB4 None **↑** ROS $\uparrow$ cell death negative Mann et al. 2006 control NB4-M- $\checkmark$ cell death None **↑** GSH Mann et al. parental AsR3<sup>a</sup> NB4 cells 2006 NB4 BSO, an inhibitor of γ-**↓** GSH $\uparrow$ cell death cells not Mann et al. 2006 glutamylcysteine treated with ↑ ROS synthetase BSO NB4-M-BSO, an inhibitor of γ-**↓** GSH $\wedge$ cell death cells not Mann et al. AsR3<sup>b</sup> glutamylcysteine treated with 2006 synthetase BSO Antimony (III) trichloride **↓** MMP **↑** ROS Hashemzaei Primary rat none hepatocytes et al. 2015 ↑ lipid peroxidation $\uparrow$ cell death nBP, a GSH-depleting **↓** GSH **↓** MMP exposed to Hashemzaei Primary rat hepatocytes agent SbCl<sub>3</sub> alone et al. 2015 **↑** ROS $\uparrow$ cell death ↑ lipid peroxidation **↓** ROS Dimethyl sulfoxide, a ↑ MMP Hashemzaei Primary rat exposed to ROS scavenger SbCl<sub>3</sub> alone et al. 2015 hepatocytes $\checkmark$ lipid peroxidation ↑cell death **↓** ROS Primary rat Mannitol, a ROS ↑ MMP exposed to Hashemzaei et al. 2015 hepatocytes scavenger $\mathbf{\Psi}$ lipid peroxidation ↓cell death SbCl<sub>3</sub> alone **↓** ROS Primary rat Trifluoperazine, a ↑ MMP exposed to Hashemzaei mitochondria et al. 2015 hepatocytes SbCl<sub>3</sub> alone $\checkmark$ lipid peroxidation ↑cell death permeability transition pore sealing agent

## Table E.3-1. Effects of antioxidants and inhibitors of oxidative stress related enzymes on cells exposed to compounds containing trivalent antimony

E-24

Primary rat

Carnitine, a

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally distributed by the National Toxicology Program. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any NTP determination or policy.

↑ MMP

exposed to

Hashemzaei

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{V}}$  ROS

Cell types	Additional treatment (besides antimony exposure)	Oxidative stress and damage	MMP and cell death	Comparison group (cells)	Reference
hepatocytes	mitochondria permeability transition pore sealing agent	↓ lipid peroxidation	↓cell death	SbCl <sub>3</sub> alone	et al. 2015
Primary rat hepatocytes	L-Glutamine, an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) generating agent	<ul><li>↓ ROS</li><li>↓ lipid peroxidation</li></ul>	↑ MMP ↑cell death	exposed to SbCl <sub>3</sub> alone	Hashemzaei et al. 2015
Antimony (III)	potassium tartrate				
HL-60	none	<b>↑</b> ROS	<ul><li>↓ MMP</li><li>↑ cell death</li></ul>	negative control	Lecureur et al. 2002
HL-60	BSO		↑ cell death	exposed to antimony alone	Lecureur et al. 2002
HL-60	NAC		↓ cell death	exposed to antimony alone	Lecureur et al. 2002

 $\mathbf{\uparrow}$  = Increased.

 $\mathbf{\Psi}$  = Decreased.

<sup>a</sup> Only tested in HL-60 cells.

<sup>b</sup> Arsenic resistant subclone of parental NB4 due to increased GSH levels.

AT = 3-amino-1,2,4-azole.

BSO = DL-buthionine-[S, R]-sulfoximine.

CCRF-CEM = Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia cells.

HL-60 = Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia cells.

K-562 = chronic myelogenous leukemia cells.

LOUCY = T cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia cells.

MMP = mitochondrial membrane potential.

MS = mercaptosuccinic acid.

NaAsc = sodium ascorbate.

NB4 = Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia cells.

NB4-M-AsR3 cells = Arsenic resistant APL cells derived in Miller lab (ref).

nBP = n-bromoheptane.

NAC = N-acetylcysteine.

#### E.4: Immune effects from compounds containing pentavalent antimony

This appendix lists immune function from compounds containing pentavalent antimony (Table E.4-1).

Patients, species or experimental system	Antimony compound	Immune effects	Functional or mechanistic association	Reference
Human studies				
Healthy active duty soldiers treated for leishmaniasis	Sodium stibogluconate	Transient lymphopenia (decreased CD4 <sup>+</sup> and CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cells)	Increased susceptibility to Herpes Zoster infections	Wortmann <i>et al.</i> 1998
Patients treated for cutaneous leishmaniasis	Glucantime (meglumine antimoniate)	Elevated IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8	Amplified pro- inflammatory cytokines upon exposure to antimonials	Kocyigit et al. 2002
Patients treated for visceral leishmaniasis	Sodium stibogluconate	Elevated IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, GM-CSF, and C1q-binding circulating immune complexes (CIC)	Amplified pro- inflammatory cytokines and CIC-induced GM- CSF upon exposure to antimonials	Elshafie <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2007
Animal studies				
BALB/c mice	Antimony sodium gluconate	Activation of peritoneal macrophages associated with enhanced antigen presentation to T cells	Increased macrophage membrane fluidity and enhanced antigen presentation capacity	Ghosh <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2013
Normal C57BL/6 mice, IFNγ gene knockout mice, inducible nitric oxide synthase-knockout (iNOS KO) mice, and respiratory burst- deficient gp91 <sup>phox-/-</sup> (X- linked chronic granulomatous disease [X-CGD]) mice	Sodium stibogluconate	In IFNγ gene knockout mice, pentavalent antimony inhibited but did not kill intracellular <i>Leishmania</i> <i>donovani;</i> treatment was effective in killing the parasite in normal, iNOS KO, and X-CGD mice.	Results support a role for T cell-derived IFNy as a critical host factor required for the efficacy of antimony in promoting parasite killing	Murray and Delph- Etienne 2000
BALB/c mice	Antimony sodium gluconate	Sodium stibogluconate synergizes with IL-2 to promote IFNγ-dependent anti-Renca tumor immune response	Supports a role for pentavalent antimony in promoting IFNγ- dependent anti-tumor immune response	Fan <i>et al.</i> 2009
<i>In vitro</i> studies				
Murine Baf 3 cell line and TF-1 human myeloid leukemia cells	Sodium stibogluconate	Sodium stibogluconate is a potent inhibitor of protein tyrosine phosphatases including Src homology PTPase1 (SHP-1), SHP-2, and PTP1B	Sodium stibogluconate, which contains a pentavalent antimony atom, (but not antimony(III) potassium tartrate) can alter	Pathak and Yi 2001

Table E.4-1. Effects	of compounds	containing pentavalent	antimony on immunit
----------------------	--------------	------------------------	---------------------

E-26

Patients, species or experimental system	Antimony compound	Immune effects	Functional or mechanistic association	Reference
			signaling of multiple cytokines (IL-3, IFNα, and GM-CSF) that signal through receptor tyrosine kinases regulated by PTPases	
Various cancer cell lines	Sodium stibogluconate	Sodium stibogluconate enhanced IFNα-induced Stat1 tyrosine phosphorylation, inactivated intracellular SHP- 1 and SHP-2, and induced cellular protein tyrosine phosphorylation in cancer cell lines	Sodium stibogluconate treatment was found to synergize with IFN $\alpha$ to overcome cancer cell lines that were refractory to the anti-cancer effects of IFN $\alpha$ <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Yi <i>et al.</i> 2002
Human CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes from healthy donors and melanoma patients	Sodium stibogluconate	Sodium stibogluconate synergizes with IL-2 to potentiate induction of IFNγ + T cells	Sodium stibogluconate treatment may potentiate T cell function in the presence of IL-2	Fan <i>et al.</i> 2009

## E.5 Top ten canonical pathways affected by 6-hours exposure to 20 $\mu\text{M}$ antimony(III) potassium tartrate trihydrate

Order	Ingenuity Canonical Pathways	-log(p- value)	Ratio	Molecules
1	Agranulocyte Adhesion and Diapedesis	4.29	0.358	CLDN7,CCL8,SELL,CLDN8,PODXL2,MYH3,CXCR4,IL1RN, CLDN14,C5AR1,MYH11,CXCL1,MYH7,MMP11,MADCAM1, MYL6B,CDH5,CXCL8,IL18,XCL2,CXCR2,SELPLG,IL1A,IL3 6RN,ITGA4,ACTA1,CXCL3,CD34,CXCL14,MMP1,MMP25,IT GA3,MMP12,ITGB7,CXCL5,CCL4,MMP28,ICAM2,CLDN17, CCL7,CLDN18,CCL1,MMP17,CCL21,CXCL2,MMP7,CCL22, MMP19,CXCL13,MMP20,MMP10,MYH8,MMP14
2	Granulocyte Adhesion and Diapedesis	3.79	0.35	CLDN7,CCL8,SELL,CLDN8,CXCR4,IL1RN,CLDN14,C5AR1, CXCL1,MMP11,TNFRSF1B,FPR2,CDH5,CXCL8,HSPB1,IL18, XCL2,CXCR2,SELPLG,IL1A,IL36RN,ITGA4,CXCL3,CXCL14 ,MMP1,HRH2,MMP25,ITGAM,ITGA3,MMP12,FPR1,HRH4,C XCL5,CCL4,MMP28,ICAM2,CLDN17,CCL7,CLDN18,CCL1, MMP17,CCL21,CXCL2,MMP7,CCL22,MMP19,CXCL13,MMP 20,MMP10,MMP14
3	Eicosanoid Signaling	3.63	0.449	DPEP3,ALOX12,FPR2,PTGER1,LTB4R2,PLA2G7,PLA2G6,D PEP1,PLA2G3,PLA2G5,PTGER2,PTGIS,PTGFR,PLA2G4C,TB XA2R,PLA2G2E,ALOX12B,PTGES,PTGIR,PTGER3,ALOX15 ,TBXAS1
4	Role of Cytokines in Mediating Communicatio n between Immune Cells	3.28	0.444	IFNA10,IL3,CSF2,IFNG,IL4,IFNA7,IFNA14,CXCL8,IL26,IL18 ,IL1RN,IL25,IFNA1/IFNA13,IL24,IL1A,IL36RN,IL17A,IFNA1 6,IFNB1,IFNA4
5	Role of Hypercytokine mia/hyperche mokinemia in the Pathogenesis of Influenza	2.91	0.447	IFNA10,IFNG,CCR1,IFNA7,IFNA14,CXCL8,CCR5,IL18,IL1R N,IFNA1/IFNA13,IL1A,IL36RN,IL17A,CCL4,IFNA16,IFNB1,I FNA4
6	Bladder Cancer Signaling	2.33	0.351	FGF5,MMP25,FGF1,MMP12,E2F1,THBS1,FGF20,SUV39H1,C DKN1A,MMP28,FGF12,MMP11,FGF21,FGF7,FGF3,FGF2,M MP17,CXCL8,MMP7,MMP19,FGF16,MMP20,MMP10,FGF8, MMP1,FGF9,MMP14
7	Crosstalk between Dendritic Cells and Natural Killer Cells	2.24	0.346	CAMK2B,CCR7,IL3,CSF2,IFNG,TREM2,HLA- F,FSCN2,TLR4,CD209,FSCN1,FSCN3,KIR2DL2,TNFRSF1B,P RF1,IL4,LTA,NECTIN2,CD69,IL3RA,KLRD1,IL18,CD40LG,C D28,IFNA1/IFNA13,ACTA1,IFNB1
8	Role of IL-	2.2	0.583	S100A9,CXCL1,IL17A,DEFB4A/DEFB4B,CXCL3,CXCL5,CX

## Table E.5-1. Top ten canonical pathways affected by 6-hour exposure to 20 μM antimony(III) potassium tartrate trihydrate

E-28

Order	Ingenuity Canonical Pathways	-log(p- value)	Ratio	Molecules
	17A in Psoriasis			CL8
9	Role of Wnt/GSK-3β Signaling in the Pathogenesis of Influenza	2.1	0.362	IFNA10,IFNG,WNT5A,LEF1,FZD2,WNT5B,IFNA7,IFNA14,F ZD7,DVL1,FZD9,WNT2B,WNT11,WNT8B,IFNA1/IFNA13,W NT7A,IFNA16,IFNB1,APC2,IFNA4,WNT10B
10	Oxidized GTP and dGTP Detoxification	1.99	1	RUVBL2,NUDT1,DDX6

Pathways 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9 (light green background) are related to immune reactions. Pathway 6 (with peach background) is related to cancer. Pathway 10 (with yellow background) is related to oxidative stress.

#### E.6. Top 10 upstream regulators of antimony

	Upstream Regulator	Expr Fold Change	Molecule Type	Predicted Activation State	Activation z- score	Flags	p-value of overlap	Target molecules in dataset	Mechanistic Network
1	Vegf		group	Activated	9.487	bias	1.88E-09	ANGPT2,ANGPTL4,AQP4,ATF3,AURKA,AURKB,BCL2A1,BIRC5,BNC 1,BTN1A1,CA2,CALB1,CALCRL,CCL7,CCNF,CD3EAP,CDC14A,CDC2 0,CDC25A,CDC25B,CDC25C,CDC45,CDH5,CDK1,CDKN2C,CDKN3,CE LSR1,CHI3L1,CHIA,CHRNB2,CHST7,CKS1B,CLCF1,CNN1,CNTFR,CP A3,CRLF1,CRYAB,CSF2,CXCL1,CXCL8,CXCR2,CXCR4,CYR61,DBF4, DPF3,DRD3,DTYMK,DUSP4,DUSP5,EDN1,EGR1,EGR3,EMCN,EMP2,E SM1,FABP4,FAIM2,FANCG,FGF16,FGF2,FLNA,FOSB,FOSL1,GATA1, GEM,GH1,GPR4,GPRC5B,HBE1,HBEGF,HDC,HMOX1,HOXB8,HPSE,H TR7,IL18,IL1A,IL3RA,IL4,ITGB3BP,JAM2,JUN,KIF15,KIF22,KIF2C,KI TLG,LEF1,LPAR1,LRAT,LYVE1,MCM2,MCM5,MID1,MKI67,MMP10, MMP14,MT1G,MYCN,NDC80,NEK2,NFATC1,NGB,NR4A2,NR4A3,NR CAM,NRG1,PLK1,PLXNA2,PMAIP1,PRC1,PRKCB,PSMC3IP,PTH,RGS 2,RGS20,SOCS2,SOCS3,ST8SIA4,STK10,TAAR5,TACR1,TACSTD2,TB XA2R,THBD,TNC,TNFRSF9,TNFSF15,TPX2,TRAF5,TRAIP,TRPC4,TT K,UBE2C,XCR1	
2	CSF2	8.025	cytokine	Activated	8.308	bias	1.85E-08	ADA,ADAM8,ADGRE5,ANXA1,AURKA,BCL2A1,BIRC5,C5AR1,CCL4, CCNF,CCR1,CCR5,CCR7,CD1C,CD209,CD28,CD33,CD40LG,CD69,CD8 A,CDC20,CDK1,CDKN1A,CDKN2B,CDKN2C,CENPE,CHAF1A,CHAF1 B,CKS1B,CLCF1,COL8A1,CSF1,CSF2,CTLA4,CXCL1,CXCL2,CXCL8,C XCR4,CYBB,EDN1,EGR1,EGR2,EGR3,EPOR,EXO1,FANCA,FCGR2B,F OLR2,FOS,FOSL1,FPR2,GATA1,GCLM,GDF15,HBEGF,HDC,HLA- DQB1,HRH4,HRK,HSPH1,IER3,IFNG,IGF1,IL1A,IL1RN,IL24,IL3RA,IL 4,ITGA4,ITGAM,LEP,MCM5,MKI67,MMP1,MMP14,MRC1,NEK2,NFA TC1,NFE2,NFKBIA,NR4A2,NUSAP1,OSM,PDE1B,PIM1,PLK1,POLD1,P OLE,PPP1R15A,PRC1,PTGER2,RARA,RECQL4,RELB,RRM2,SERPINB 9,SLC1A5,SOCS2,SOCS3,SPAG5,SP11,STMN1,THBS1,TLR2,TLR4,TNF AIP3,TNFRSF1B,TNFRSF9,TNFSF14,TNFSF15,TNFSF8,TPM4,TPX2,U BE2C,ZFP36	352 (5)

	Upstream Regulator	Expr Fold Change	Molecule Type	Predicted Activation State	Activation z- score	Flags	p-value of overlap	Target molecules in dataset	Mechanistic Network
3	TREM1	1.62	transme mbrane receptor	Activated	4.945	bias	0.0000002 03	ATF3,CASP5,CCL7,CCR7,CCRL2,CDK1,CDKN2B,CEBPB,CKS2,CSF1, CSF2,CXCL1,CXCL2,CXCL3,CXCL5,CXCL8,CXCR4,DCSTAMP,DEFB 4A/DEFB4B,DUSP4,EDN1,EGR1,EGR2,EGR3,FOSL1,GADD45B,GCLM ,GEM,GIPR,GLA,HAS1,HBEGF,IFNG,IL17A,IL36RN,IL4,LPL,MAD1L1, MAFF,MMP1,MMP10,MMP19,NFKBIA,NOD2,NR4A2,OSGIN1,RGS1,R RAD,SLC1A3,SNAPC1,TCEAL9,THBD,THBS1,TLR2,TLR4,TNFSF14,T NFSF15,WNT5A	
4	GATA2	2.854	transcript ion regulator		1.922		0.0000002 37	ADGRE5,ANGPT2,ANGPTL4,ARPP21,C9,CCL21,CCR8,CD177,CD34,C D36,CD69,CD96,CDH5,CDK6,CDKN1A,CEL,CELSR3,CHGA,CHI3L1,C LDN18,CMA1,CPA1,CPA3,CST7,CYBB,CYP2F1,CYP4F11,DDX4,DLK1 ,E2F2,EDN1,ELANE,EMCN,EPHA3,FABP4,FCN1,GABRP,GATA1,GAT A2,GP5,GP9,GPR65,GUCA2A,HBQ1,HDC,HOXA10,HSD17B1,ICAM2,I KZF1,IL3RA,IL4,IL4R,ITGAM,KLF2,KLK3,LYL1,MAFB,MEP1A,MMR N1,MPIG6B,NFE2,PAX3,PDE9A,PLK2,PRG3,RAG1,REG1A,S100A5,S1 00A9,S100G,SERPINB10,SLC4A1,SLC9A5,SOX18,SPI1,SSTR2,TAC3,T ACSTD2,TAL1,THBS1,TUBA8,UBASH3A	
5	calcitriol		chemical drug		0.412		0.0000004 94	ADAM19,ALPI,ANGPT2,ANKRD2,ATP5D,BIRC5,CA2,CALB1,CALCB, CASR,CCNA1,CCR8,CDC20,CDC45,CDK1,CDK5R1,CDKN1A,CEBPB, CELSR3,CHAF1A,CHAF1B,CHGA,CKM,COL4A1,CSF1,CSF2,CXCL2,C XCL3,CXCL8,CYP24A1,CYP2C9,CYP3A4,CYP46A1,CYR61,DCSTAMP ,DEFB4A/DEFB4B,DUSP1,DUSP10,EDN1,EGR1,ETFB,EXO1,FABP4,F AM107A,FCER2,FOS,GADD45A,GADD45G,GEM,HBEGF,HSPB7,IER3, IFITM1,IFNG,IGF1,IGFBP5,IL10RA,IL17A,IL18,IL1A,IL1RN,IL4,INCE NP,INS,ITGA4,ITGAM,ITGB7,JUN,KIF20A,KIF22,KL,KLK13,KLK5,LE P,LIG1,LPAR1,LPL,LTBP1,MAOA,MCM2,MCM5,MMP1,MRC1,MYH8, NEK2,NFATC1,NKX2- 1,NME4,NPHS1,NTHL1,NUPR1,NUSAP1,PDE9A,POLE,POU1F1,PRC1, PRKCB,PRKCD,PTGER2,PTGFR,PTH,RAB38,RAD51AP1,RARRES1,R BPMS,REL,RRM2,RUNX1T1,S100A9,S100G,SERPINB7,SERPINB9,SLC 2A4,SLC7A7,SNPH,SOCS3,SPAG5,STMN1,SUV39H1,TACC3,TERT,TH BD,THBS1,THRA,TK1,TLR2,TLR4,TNFAIP3,TPX2,TSPO,WNT11	140 (2)
6	ID2	1.706	transcript		-		0.0000005	AICDA,ASCL2,BATF,CCR10,CCR7,CCR8,CD40LG,CDC25B,CDK1,CD	

	Upstream Regulator	Expr Fold Change	Molecule Type	Predicted Activation State	Activation z- score	Flags	p-value of overlap	Target molecules in dataset	Mechanistic Network
			ion regulator		1.136		14	KN1A,CDKN2C,CEBPB,CSF1,CXCR4,CXCR5,DUSP1,DUSP10,DUSP4, E2F2,EGR2,EGR4,FLT3LG,FOXO3,FSHB,GADD45B,GADD45G,IFNG,I L10RA,IL4,IL4R,IL9R,IRF8,KLF6,LTA,MAP3K14,MPZ,NFAT5,NFATC 1,NR4A3,PDCD1,PTPN13,PTPN14,PTPN22,RAPGEF4,REL,RPS6KA2,S ELL,SEMA3F,SH2D1A,SOCS3,SOX4,SOX5,TNFRSF25,TNFSF14,TNFS F8,TRAF1,TRAF5	
7	phorbol myristate acetate		chemical drug	Activated	7.684	bias	0.000006	ADAM28, ADAM8, ADM, ADRB3, AGER, ALOX12, ANGPT2, ANGPTL4, A NXA1, AQP4, ATP2A3, AURKA, AURKB, BCL2A1, BDNF, BIRC5, BLM, BT G2, C5AR1, CA2, CA8, CAV1, CCL1, CCL4, CCNA1, CCR7, CD209, CD28, CD 36, CD40LG, CD69, CDK1, CDK5R1, CDK5R2, CDKN1A, CDKN2B, CGA, C HGA, CKM, CLCF1, CRH, CRHR1, CSF1, CSF2, CTLA4, CXCL13, CXCL2, C XCL3, CXCL8, CXCR2, CXCR4, CYBB, CYP24A1, CYP2A6 (includes others), CYR61, DEFB4A/DEFB4B, DSG1, DUSP1, DUSP2, DUSP5, E2F1, E2 F3, EGR1, EGR2, EGR3, EGR4, EIF4EBP1, ELANE, EN1, EP300, EPOR, ERBB 4, FGF2, FGF7, FOS, FOSB, FOSL1, FSHB, FUT9, GABRP, GAP43, GATA1, G ATA2, GDF15, GEM, GML, GNRH1, GRIN2A, H1FX, HAS1, HBEGF, HDC, H MGA1, HPSE, HSD11B1, HSD17B1, HSD3B1, HTR2A, HTR7, IFNG, IGF1, IG FBP2, IGFBP5, IL12RB1, IL17A, IL18, IL1A, IL1RN, IL20RA, IL24, IL4, ITGA M, ITM2A, JUN, JUNB, JUND, KCNJ10, KIF2C, KLF2, KLF6, KLK3, KRT35, L AMB3, LOR, LPL, LTA, LYVE1, MAD1L1, MMP1, MMP11, MMP12, MMP14 , MMP19, MMP7, MPZ, MRC1, MSR1, MST1R, MT2A, MUC4, MYH7, MYOZ 2, NCR1, NFAT5, NFATC1, NFKBIA, NFKBIE, NKX2- 1, NOCT, NR4A2, NTS, OLR1, OSM, OSR2, PAK2, PDCD1, PDE1C, PDPN, PI M1, PLIN3, PODXL2, PON1, POU1F1, PPP1R15A, PRKCB, PRKCD, PRKD1, PTGER2, PTGES, PTGFR, PTPRE, PTPRN, PTPRO, RAE1, RARA, RARB, RA SGRP1, RECQL4, REL, RELB, RGS1, RGS2, RUVBL2, S100A9, SELL, SELPL G, SERPINB10, SERPINB7, SERPINB9, SLC22A1, SLC6A2, SLC6A7, SLC7 A11, SNA11, SNAP25, SOCS3, SP4, SPHK1, SRC, SRD5A2, SSTR2, STATH, T ACR1, TBXAS1, TEAD4, TERT, TH, THBS1, TIE1, TK1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR6, T MOD2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF1B, TNFSF14, TRAF1, TRPC6, ULBP2, USF2, VIP , WT1, XCR1, ZFP36	276 (3)
8	HDAC1	0.743	transcript ion		- 0.945		0.0000009 42	ADIPOQ,AMPD3,ANGPT2,ASCL2,ATF3,BDNF,CCNA1,CCNB2,CCR8, CD27,CD34,CDC25A,CDC25C,CDK1,CDKN1A,COL1A2,COL9A1,CXC	414 (12)

E-32

	Upstream Regulator	Expr Fold Change	Molecule Type	Predicted Activation State	Activation z- score	Flags	p-value of overlap	Target molecules in dataset	Mechanistic Network
			regulator					L8,E2F2,EGR1,EHMT2,FABP4,FAM107A,FOS,H2AFX,HBE1,HBG2,IFN B1,IL17A,IL24,IL4,INA,ITGB4,KLK3,LIG1,MAD1L1,MCM5,MPZ,MT1 G,MUC4,MYH7,NEFH,NFATC1,NFKBIA,NKX2- 5,PAX3,PLK1,PMAIP1,POLL,PPP2R2B,PRIM2,PTH,RAD54L,RAG1,RE CQL4,RELB,RGS10,RRM2,RUNX2,S100A9,SATB1,SNAI1,SOX10,TAG LN,TAL1,TBX1,TBX2,TERT,TUBB3,TYMS	
9	PTGER2	2.853	g-protein coupled receptor	Activated	5.127	bias	0.0000016 2	AURKA,CCNB2,CCR7,CDKN3,CENPE,CFP,CKS2,CXCL8,CXCR2,CXC R4,EGR1,FPR1,H2AFX,HAMP,HDC,HIST1H2AB,IFNG,IL17A,IL1A,KIF 15,KIF20A,KIF22,KIF2C,KLRD1,MKI67,NEK2,NUSAP1,PIM1,PLK1,PR C1,PTGER3,PTGES,SPAG5,THBS1,TPX2,TROAP,TTK	
10	TNF	1.621	cytokine	Activated	8.752	bias	0.0000018	ACTA1,ADAM8,ADAMTS5,ADIPOQ,ADM,ADRB1,ADRB3,AEBP1,AG ER,AICDA,AMPD3,ANGPT2,ANGPTL4,ANXA1,ARHGDIB,ATF3,AUR KC,BCL2A1,BDKRB1,BDKRB2,BDNF,BIK,BIRC5,BTG2,BTG3,C5AR1, CA2,CABP1,CAV1,CCK,CCL1,CCL22,CCL4,CCL7,CCR1,CCR5,CCR7,C CR8,CD1C,CD209,CD247,CD28,CD36,CD3E,CD40LG,CD5,CD69,CD82, CDC25C,CDH13,CDH5,CDK5R1,CDKN1A,CDKN2C,CDX1,CEBPB,CE BPG,CHI3L1,CHRNA4,CHRNB2,CHRNE,CHRNG,CHST4,CHST7,CIB2, CKM,CLCF1,CLDN7,CNN1,COL15A1,COL16A1,COL1A2,COLQ,COTL 1,CPA3,CRH,CRHR1,CRLF1,CRYAB,CSF1,CSF2,CSN2,CST7,CTLA4,C TSF,CX3CR1,CXCL1,CXCL13,CXCL2,CXCL3,CXCL5,CXCL8,CXCR2, CXCR4,CXCR5,CYBB,CYP26B1,CYP2C8,CYR61,CYTH3,DCSTAMP,D EFB4A/DEFB4B,DPF3,DUSP1,DUSP10,DUSP2,DUSP4,DUSP5,DVL1,E2 F1,EDN1,EGR1,EGR2,EGR3,ELF3,EMCN,EMP2,ENG,ENPP3,EREG,ES M1,FABP4,FAT2,FCAR,FCER2,FCGR2B,FGF2,FG5,FOS,FOSB,FOSL1 ,FOXF1,FOXF2,FPR1,FPR2,FSCN1,G0S2,GABRA1,GADD45A,GADD45 B,GADD45G,GATA2,GCLM,GDF15,GEM,GNA15,GNL1,GPR176,GPRC 5B,GRIA1,HAS1,HBEGF,HDC,HIVEP1,HLA- F,HMOX1,HOXB8,HRK,HSD11B1,HSPA1A/HSPA1B,HSPG2,ICAM2,IE R2,IER3,IFI27,IFITM1,IFNA1/IFNA13,IFNB1,IFNG,IGF1,IGFBP2,IGFBP 5,IL10RA,IL17A,IL18,IL18R1,IL1A,IL1RN,IL24,IL3,IL36RN,IL3RA,IL4, IL4R,INS,IRF8,ITGA4,ITGAM,ITGB7,JUN,JUNB,JUND,KIF20A,KITLG, KL,KLF10,KLF2,KLF6,KLK3,LAMA4,LAMB3,LBP,LEP,LPL,LTB4R2,L YVE1,MADCAM1,MAFF,MAP3K14,MC1R,MCF2,MECOM,MFHAS1,M	611 (12)

Upstream Regulator	Expr Fold Change	Molecule Type	Predicted Activation State	Activation z- score	Flags	p-value of overlap	Target molecules in dataset		Mechanistic Network
							GMT,MM	1P1,MMP10,MMP12,MMP14,MMP28,MMP7,MSR1,MST1R,MS	
							I N,M I 2A NFKBIE.1	A,MUCI,MUC4,MYH/,NCAN,NCF2,NEFH,NFATCI,NFKBIA, NKX2-1.NKX6-	
							1,NOCT,N	NOD2,NPHS1,NPPB,NR4A2,NR4A3,NR6A1,OAS2,OLR1,OSM,	
							OTUD7B,	,P2RY6,PAK2,PAX6,PDCD1,PDE2A,PDGFRA,PDPN,PIM1,PL	
							A2G3,PLA	A2G4C,PLA2G5,PLIN1,PLK2,PLP1,PMAIP1,PPP1R15A,PRKC	
							D,PRSS23	3,PTGES,PTGFR,PTPRN,PYCARD,RARA,RBPMS,RCAN2,RE	
							L,RELB,R	RFX2,RGS1,RGS2,RGS20,RGS3,RGS5,RND1,RRAD,RRM1,RR	
							M2,RUNX	X2,S100A9,SCNN1B,SCO2,SCUBE2,SELL,SELPLG,SERPINB1	
							0,SERPIN	NB9,SLC12A1,SLC16A2,SLC1A3,SLC2A4,SLC7A8,SNAI1,SNN	
							,SOCS2,S	SOCS3,SOX4,SPHK1,ST8SIA4,STMN1,SYNGR3,TAGLN,TBXA	
							S1,TERT,	,TH,THBD,THBS1,THBS2,TIE1,TK1,TLR2,TLR4,TNC,TNFAIP	
							3,TNFRSI	F1B,TNFRSF9,TNFSF14,TNFSF15,TNFSF8,TNFSF9,TNNC1,T	
							RAF1,TR	AF2,TRAF5,TREM2,TRIM15,TRPC3,TRPC6,TWIST1,TXNRD1	
							,VIP,WN7	T10B,WNT5A,WNT7A,YY1,ZFP36	